

Proposed ~~Statement on Auditing Standards~~ISA 600 (Revised), Special Considerations—Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors) (Marked from September 2021 IAASB Meeting)

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
Introduction	
Scope of this Proposed SASsectionISA	
<p>1. The International Standards on Auditing (ISAs)Generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS) apply to an audit of group financial statements (a group audit). This proposed statement on auditing standards (SAS)section addressesISA deals with special considerations that apply to a group audit, including in those circumstances when component auditors are involved. The requirements and guidance in this proposed SASsectionISA refer to, or expand on, the application of other relevant AU-C sectionsISAs to a group audit, in particular proposed QM SAS, Quality Management for an Engagement Performed in Accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing StandardssectionISA 220 (Revised),¹ sectionISA 230,²</p>	<p>Scope of this Proposed SAS ISA (Ref: Para. 1–1B)</p> <p>A1. This proposed SAS ISA also deals with the special considerations for the group engagement partner or group auditor, as applicable, in applying the requirements and guidance in proposed QM SAS-ISA-220 (Revised), including for the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work.</p> <p>A2. Proposed ISQMS 1⁶ addresses the engagements for which an engagement quality review is required to be performed. Proposed ISQMS 2⁷ deals with the appointment and eligibility of the engagement quality reviewer and the engagement quality reviewer’s responsibilities relating to performing and documenting an engagement quality review, including for a group audit.</p>

¹ [Proposed QM SAS](#)ISA 220 (Revised), *Quality Management for an ~~Engagement Performed in Accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards~~Audit of Financial Statements*

² [AU-C section](#) ISA 230, *Audit Documentation*

⁶ ~~International Standard~~ [Proposed Statement](#) on Quality Management ~~Standards~~ (ISQMS) 1, *A Firm’s System of Quality Management for Firms that Perform Audits or Reviews of Financial Statements, or Other Assurance or Related Services Engagements*

⁷ [Proposed](#) ISQMS 2, *Engagement Quality Reviews*

<u>AU-C ISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>section ISA 300, <i>Planning an Audit</i>,³ SAS No. 145, <i>Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and its Environment</i>section ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁴ and section ISA 330, <i>Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained</i>.⁵ (Ref: Para. A1–A2)</p>	
<p>1A. Group financial statements include the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process, as described in paragraph 9(k). The term consolidation process as used in this proposed SASsection ISA refers not only to the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, but also to the presentation of combined financial statements, and to the aggregation of the financial information of entities or business units such as branches or divisions. (Ref: Para. A2A–A2B, A16A)</p> <p><u>1A.2. Government entities frequently prepare group financial statements. The AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, State and Local Governments provides guidance to assist auditors in auditing and reporting on those financial statements in accordance with GAAS, including the requirements of this section. [Extant AU-C 600.04]</u></p>	<p>A2A. An entity or business unit of a group may also prepare its own group financial statements that incorporate the financial information of those entities or business units it encompasses (that is, a subgroup). This proposed SAS ISA applies to an audit of the group financial statements of such subgroups performed for statutory, regulatory or other reasons.</p> <p>A2AA. A single legal entity may be organized with more than one business unit, for example, a company with operations in multiple locations, such as a bank with multiple branches. When those business units have characteristics such as separate locations, separate management, or separate information systems (including a separate general ledger) and the financial information is aggregated in preparing the single legal entity's financial statements, such financial statements meet the definition of group financial statements because they include the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process.</p> <p>A2B. In some cases, a single legal entity may configure its information system to capture financial information for more than one product or service line for legal or regulatory reporting or other management purposes. In these circumstances, the entity's financial statements are not group financial statements because there is no aggregation of the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation</p>

³ [AU-C section ISA 300, *Planning an Audit of Financial Statements*](#)

⁴ [Proposed SAS No. 145 ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\), *Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement Through Understanding the Entity and its Environment*](#)

⁵ [AU-C section ISA 330, *Performing Audit Procedures in The Auditor's Responses to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained*](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	process. Further, capturing separate information (e.g., in a sub-ledger) for legal or regulatory reporting or other management purposes does not create separate entities or business units (e.g., divisions) for purposes of this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u> .
1B. As explained in <u>proposed QM SASsectionISA 220 (Revised)</u> , ⁸ this <u>proposed SASsectionISA</u> , adapted as necessary in the circumstances, may also be useful in an audit of financial statements other than a group audit when the engagement team includes individuals from another firm. For example, this <u>proposed SASsectionISA</u> may be useful when involving such an individual to attend a physical inventory count, inspect property, plant and equipment, or perform audit procedures at a shared service center at a remote location.	
<p><i>Groups and Components</i></p> <p>3. A group may be organized in various ways. For example, a group may be organized by legal or other entities (e.g., a parent and one or more subsidiaries, joint ventures, or investments accounted for by the equity method). Alternatively, the group may be organized by geography, by other economic units (including branches or divisions), or by functions or business activities. In this <u>proposed SASsectionISA</u>, these different forms of organization are collectively referred to as “entities or business units.” (Ref: Para. A3A)</p>	<p><i>Groups and Components</i> (Ref: Para. 3–3A)</p> <p>A3A. The group’s information system, including its financial reporting process, may or may not be aligned with the group’s organizational structure. For example, a group may be organized according to its legal structure, but its information system may be organized by function, process, product or service (or by groups of products or services), or geographic locations for management or reporting purposes.</p>

⁸ [Paragraph A1 of proposed QM SASISA 220 \(Revised\)](#), paragraph A1

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>3A. The group auditor determines an appropriate approach to planning and performing audit procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. For this purpose, the group auditor uses professional judgment in determining the components at which audit work will be performed. This determination is based on the group auditor's understanding of the group and its environment, and other factors such as the ability to perform audit procedures centrally, the presence of shared service centers, or common information systems and internal control. (Ref: Para. A5–A6A)</p>	<p>A5. Based on the understanding of the group's organizational structure and information system, the group auditor may determine that the financial information of certain entities or business units may be considered together for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures. For example, a group may have three legal entities with similar business characteristics, operating in the same geographical location, under the same management, and using a common system of internal control, including the information system. In these circumstances, the group auditor may decide to treat these three legal entities as one component.</p> <p>A6. A group may also centralize activities or processes that are applicable to more than one entity or business unit within the group, for example through the use of a shared service center. When such centralized activities are relevant to the group's financial reporting process, the group auditor may determine that the shared service center is a component.</p> <p>A6A. Another consideration that may be relevant to the group auditor's determination of components is how management has determined operating segments in accordance with the disclosure requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.⁹</p>
<p><i>Involvement of Component Auditors</i></p> <p>3B. Proposed QM SASSection ISA 220 (Revised) requires the engagement partner to determine that sufficient and appropriate resources to perform the engagement are assigned or made available to the engagement team in a timely manner.¹⁰ In a group audit, such resources may include component auditors <u>(that is, through assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors or through making reference to the audit of a component</u></p>	<p><i>Involvement of Component Auditors</i> (Ref: Para. 3B–4)</p>

⁹ See, for example, [FASB Accounting Standards Codification Manual \(ASC\) International Financial Reporting Standard \(IFRS\) 280, Operating Segments Reporting](#)

¹⁰ [Paragraph 25 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 \(Revised\), paragraph 25](#)

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p><u>auditor in the auditor's report</u>). Therefore, this <u>proposed SASsectionISA</u> requires the group auditor to determine the nature, timing and extent of involvement of component auditors.</p>	
<p>3C. The group auditor may involve component auditors to provide information, or to perform audit work, to fulfill the requirements of this <u>proposed SASsectionISA</u>. Component auditors may have greater experience and a more in-depth knowledge of the components and their environments (including local laws and regulations, business practices, language, and culture) than the group auditor. Accordingly, component auditors can be, and often are, involved in all phases of the group audit. (Ref: Para. A8AA)</p>	<p>A8AA. Component auditors may perform an audit of the financial statements of a component, whether for statutory, regulatory or other reasons, particularly when a component is a legal entity. When a component auditor is also performing or has completed an audit of the component financial statements, the group auditor may be able to use audit work performed on the component financial statements, provided the group auditor is satisfied that such work is appropriate for purposes of the group audit. In addition, some of the audit work requested by the group auditor may be able to be used by the component auditor for the separate audit of the component financial statements. Component auditors may also adapt the work they perform on the audit of the component financial statements to also meet the needs of the group auditor. In any event, <u>and regardless of whether the group engagement partner decides to make reference to component auditors in the group auditor's report or to assume responsibility for the work of component auditors,</u> the requirements of this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u> apply, including those relating to the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors.</u></p>

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>4. Audit risk is a function of the risks of material misstatement and detection risk.¹¹ Detection risk in a group audit includes the risk that the component auditor may not detect a misstatement in the financial information of the component that could cause a material misstatement of the group financial statements, and that the group auditor may not detect this misstatement. Accordingly, this proposed SAS sectionISA requires sufficient and appropriate involvement by the group engagement partner or group auditor, as applicable, in the work of component auditors and emphasizes the importance of two-way communication between the group auditor and component auditors. In addition, when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors, this proposed SAS sectionISA explains the matters that the group auditor takes into account when determining the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. (Ref: Para. A8A–A8B)</p>	<p>A8A. As explained in ISA AU-C section 200, <i>Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing Standards</i>,¹² detection risk relates to the nature, timing and extent of the auditor’s procedures that are determined by the auditor to reduce audit risk to an acceptably low level. Detection risk is a function not only of the effectiveness of an audit procedure but also the application of that procedure by the auditor. Therefore, detection risk is influenced by matters such as adequate planning, the assignment of appropriate resources to the engagement, the exercise of professional skepticism, and the supervision and review of the audit work performed.</p> <p>A8B. Detection risk is a broader concept than aggregation risk as described in paragraphs 9(a) and A11. In a group audit, there may be a higher probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements may exceed materiality for the group financial statements as a whole because audit procedures may be performed separately on the financial information of components across the group. Accordingly, component performance materiality is set by the group auditor to reduce aggregation risk to an appropriately low level.</p>
<p><i>Professional Skepticism</i></p> <p>5. In accordance with AU-C sectionISA 200,¹³ the engagement team is required to plan and perform the</p>	<p><i>Professional Skepticism</i> (Ref: Para. 5)</p> <p>A9. Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)¹⁴ provides examples of the impediments to the exercise of professional skepticism at the engagement level, including unconscious</p>

¹¹ ~~Paragraph A38 of AU-C section~~ISA 200, *Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance with Generally Accepted Auditing International Standards on Auditing*, paragraph A34

¹² ~~Paragraph A49~~A45 of ~~AU-C section~~ISA 200, paragraph A45

¹³ ~~Paragraphs 17-18 and A24-A28 of AU-C section~~ISA 200, paragraphs 15–16 and A20–A24

¹⁴ ~~Paragraphs A35–A36 of proposed QM SAS~~ISA 220 (Revised), paragraphs A35–A36

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>group audit with professional skepticism and to exercise professional judgment. The appropriate exercise of professional skepticism may be demonstrated through the actions and communications of the engagement team, including emphasizing the importance of each engagement team member exercising professional skepticism throughout the group audit. Such actions and communications may include specific steps to mitigate impediments that may impair the appropriate exercise of professional skepticism. (Ref: Para. A9–A10)</p>	<p>auditor biases that may impede the exercise of professional skepticism when designing and performing audit procedures and evaluating audit evidence. Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised) also provides possible actions that the engagement team may take to mitigate impediments to the exercise of professional skepticism at the engagement level.</p> <p>A9AA. It is important for all members of the engagement team, including component auditors, to exercise professional skepticism throughout the group audit. When there are a large number of components across multiple jurisdictions, it also may be important for the group auditor to remain alert for inconsistent information from component auditors, component management and group management about matters that may be significant to the group financial statements.</p> <p>A9A. The exercise of professional skepticism in a group audit also may be affected by matters such as the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Component auditors in different locations may be subject to varying cultural influences, which may affect the nature of the biases to which they are subject. • The complex structure of some groups may introduce factors that give rise to increased susceptibility to risks of material misstatement. In addition, an overly complex structure may itself be a fraud risk factor in accordance with AU-C section ISA 240, <i>Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit</i>¹⁵ and therefore may require additional time or expertise to understand the business purpose and activities of certain entities or business units. • The nature and extent of intragroup transactions (e.g., transactions that involve multiple entities and business units within the group or multiple related parties), cash flows or transfer pricing agreements may give rise to additional complexities. In some cases, such matters may also give rise to fraud risk factors. • When the group audit is subject to tight reporting deadlines imposed by group

¹⁵ [AU-C section ISA 240, *The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Consideration of Fraud in an Audit of Financial Statements Audit*](#)

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>management, this may put pressure on engagement team members in completing the work assigned. For example, such demands may restrict the ability of the engagement team to make appropriate judgments, including in the review of the work performed, and appropriately question management's assertions.</p> <p>A9B. [Moved to paragraph A52A]</p> <p>A9C. The group auditor's direction and supervision of engagement team members, including component auditors, and the review of their work may help the group auditor in evaluating whether the engagement team has appropriately exercised professional skepticism.</p> <p>A10. Requirements and relevant application material in SAS No. 145-ISA 315 (Revised 2019),¹⁶ AU-C section ISA 540, Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures (Revised)¹⁷ and other AU-C sections ISAs also provide examples of areas in an audit where the auditor exercises professional skepticism, or examples of where appropriate documentation may help provide evidence about how the auditor exercised professional skepticism.</p>
<p><i>Scalability</i></p> <p>5A. This proposed SAS section ISA is intended for all group audits, regardless of size or complexity. However, the requirements of this proposed SAS section ISA are intended to be applied in the context of the nature and circumstances of each group audit. For example, when a group audit is carried out entirely by the group auditor, some requirements in this proposed SAS section ISA are not relevant because they are conditional on the</p>	

¹⁶ ~~Paragraph A268A23 of SAS No. 145-ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph A238~~

¹⁷ ~~Paragraph A11 of AU-C section ISA 540 (Revised), Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures, paragraph A11~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>involvement of component auditors. This may be the case when the group auditor is able to perform audit procedures centrally or is able to perform procedures at the components without involving component auditors. The guidance in paragraphs A75A and A76 also may be helpful in applying this proposed SASsectionISA in these circumstances.</p>	
<p><i>Responsibilities of the Group Engagement Partner and Group Auditor</i></p> <p>6. The group engagement partner remains ultimately responsible, and therefore accountable, for compliance with the requirements of this proposed SASsectionISA. The term “the group engagement partner should take responsibility for...” or “the group auditor should take responsibility for...” is used for those requirements when the group engagement partner or group auditor, respectively, is permitted to assign the design or performance of procedures, tasks or actions to other appropriately skilled or suitably experienced members of the engagement team, including component auditors. For other requirements, this proposed SASsectionISA expressly intends that the requirement or responsibility be fulfilled by the group engagement partner or group auditor, as applicable, and the group engagement partner or group auditor may obtain information from the firm or other members of the engagement team. (Ref: Para. A19)</p>	<p><u><i>Responsibilities of the Group Engagement Partner and Group Auditor</i></u> (Ref: Para. 6-6.1)</p> <p>A10.X447. Although component auditors may perform work on the financial information of the components for the group audit and as such are responsible for their overall findings or conclusions, the group engagement partner or the group engagement partner’s firm is responsible for the group audit <u>opinion regardless of whether reference will be made in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements to the audit of a component auditor.</u> [Moved from paragraph A117] [Extant AU-C 600.A13]</p> <p>A10.Y448. When the group audit opinion is modified because the group auditor was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence in relation to the financial information of one or more components, the Basis for Qualified Opinion or Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion section in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements describes the reasons for that inability.¹⁸ In some circumstances, a reference to a component auditor may be necessary to adequately describe the reasons for the modified opinion, for example, when the component auditor is unable to perform or complete the work requested on the component financial information due to circumstances beyond the control of component management. [Moved from paragraph A118]</p>

¹⁸ Paragraphs 21 and 25 of AU-C section 705

_AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>6.1 The group engagement partner should be responsible for <u>deciding</u>, individually for each component, to either</p> <p>(a) <u>assume responsibility for, and thus be required to direct and supervise the component auditor and review their work, insofar as that work relates to the expression of an audit opinion on the group financial statements, or</u></p> <p>(b) <u>not assume responsibility for, and accordingly make reference to, the audit of a component auditor in the auditor's report on the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.08]</u></p>	<p><u>Considerations Specific to Governmental Entities [Ref. Para. 6]</u></p> <p>A10.2. When the <u>group</u> auditor is engaged to express opinions on both the group financial statements and the separate financial statements of the components presented in the group financial statements, the auditor's reporting responsibilities with respect to the separate financial statements are the same as the auditor's responsibilities with respect to the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.A14]</p>

_AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
Effective Date	
7. This proposed SAS section ISA is effective for audits of group financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 20 23 XX.	
Objectives	
<p>8. The objectives of the auditor are to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) With respect to the acceptance and continuance of the group audit engagement, determine whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence can reasonably be expected to be obtained to provide a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements; (b) Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and plan and perform further audit procedures to appropriately respond to those assessed risks; (b-1) <u>(c) Determine whether to make reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements; [Extant AU-C 600.10]</u> <u>(d)</u> Be sufficiently and appropriately involved in the work of component auditors throughout the group audit, including communicating clearly about the scope and timing of their work, and in evaluating the results of that work; and (d) <u>(e)</u> Evaluate whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained from the audit 	

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>procedures performed, including from the work performed by component auditors, as a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements.</p>	
Definitions	
<p>9. For purposes of GAAS the ISAs, the following terms have the meanings attributed below <u>as follows</u>:</p> <p>(a) Aggregation risk – The probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. (Ref: Para. A11)</p> <p>(b) Component – An entity or business unit or a function or business activity, (or some combination thereof), determined by the group auditor for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures in a group audit. (Ref: Para. A12)</p> <p>(c) Component auditor – An auditor who, at the request of the group auditor, performs audit work related to a component for purposes of the group audit. A component auditor is a part of the engagement team for a group audit.¹⁹ (Ref: Para. A13–A14)</p> <p>(d) Component management – Management responsible for a component. (Ref: Para. A15)</p> <p>(e) Component performance materiality – An amount</p>	<p>Definitions</p> <p><i>Aggregation Risk</i> (Ref: Para. 9(a))</p> <p>A11. Aggregation risk exists in all audits of financial statements, but is particularly important to understand and address in a group audit because there is a greater likelihood that audit procedures will be performed on classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures that are disaggregated across components. Generally, aggregation risk increases as the number of components increases at which audit procedures are performed separately, whether by component auditors or other members of the engagement team.</p> <p><i>Component</i> (Ref: Para. 9(b))</p> <p>A12. As noted in paragraph 3A, the group auditor uses professional judgment in determining components at which audit procedures will be performed, including whether certain entities or business units, functions or business activities will be considered together for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures. However, the group auditor’s identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements in accordance with SAS No. 145–ISA 315 (Revised 2019) encompasses all of the entities and business units whose financial information is included in the group financial statements.</p> <p><i>Considerations Specific to Governmental Entities</i> (Ref: Para. 9(b))</p>

¹⁹ [Paragraph 12 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 \(Revised\), paragraph 12\(d\)](#)

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>set by the group auditor to reduce aggregation risk to an appropriately low level for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures in relation to a component.</p> <p>(f) Group – A reporting entity for which group financial statements are prepared.</p> <p>(g) Group audit – The audit of group financial statements.</p> <p>(h) Group auditor – The group engagement partner and members of the engagement team other than component auditors who are responsible for:</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(i) Establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan;</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(ii) <u>Being involved in the work of component auditors, and when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors, directing and supervising component auditors and reviewing their work;</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(iii) Evaluating the conclusions drawn from the audit evidence obtained as the basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements.</p> <p>(i) Group audit opinion – The audit opinion on the group financial statements.</p> <p>(j) Group engagement partner – The engagement partner²⁰ who is responsible for the group audit.</p>	<p><u>AXX. In audits of state and local governments, a component may be a separate legal entity reported as a component unit or part of the governmental entity, such as a business activity, department, or program. [Extant AU-C 600.A5]</u></p> <p><i>Component Auditor</i> (Ref: Para. 9(c))</p> <p>A13. References in this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u> to the engagement team include the group auditor and component auditors <u>(that is, component auditors for which the group auditor is assuming responsibility and component auditors for which the group auditor is making reference to in the auditor’s report)</u>. Component auditors may be from a network firm, a firm that is not a network firm, or the group auditor’s firm (e.g., another office within the group auditor’s firm).</p> <p><u>AXX. An auditor who performs work on a component when the group engagement team will not use that work to provide audit evidence for the group audit is not considered a component auditor. [Extant AU-C 600.A9]</u></p> <p>A14. In some circumstances, the group auditor may perform centralized testing on classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, or may perform audit procedures related to a component. In these circumstances, the group auditor is not considered a component auditor for purposes of this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u>.</p> <p><i>Component Management</i> (Ref: Para. 9(d))</p> <p>A15. Component management refers to management responsible for the financial information or other activity (e.g., processing of transactions at a shared service center) at an entity or business unit that is part of the group. When the group auditor considers the financial information of certain entities or business units together as a</p>

²⁰ [Paragraph 12 of proposed QM SASISA 220 \(Revised\), paragraph 12\(a\)](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(Ref. Para. A15A)</p> <p>(k) Group financial statements – Financial statements that include the financial information of more than one entity or business unit through a consolidation process. For purposes of this proposed SAS section ISA, a consolidation process includes <u>the following</u>:</p> <p>(i) Consolidation, proportionate consolidation, <u>inclusion [Extant AU-C 600.12]</u>, or an equity method of accounting;</p> <p>(ii) The presentation in combined financial statements of the financial information of entities or business units that have no parent but <u>[Extant AU-C 600.12]</u> are under common control or common management; or</p> <p>(iii) The aggregation of the financial information of entities or business units such as branches or divisions. (Ref. Para. A16–A16B)</p> <p>(l) Group management – Management responsible for the preparation of the group financial statements.</p> <p>(m) Group performance materiality – Performance materiality²¹ in relation to the group financial statements as a whole, as determined by the group auditor.</p>	<p>component or determines that a shared service center is a component (see <u>paragraphs A5–A6</u>), component management refers to the management that is responsible for the financial information or transaction processing that is subject to the audit procedures being performed in relation to that component.</p> <p><i>Group Engagement Partner</i> (Ref. Para. 9(j))</p> <p>A15A. When joint auditors conduct a group audit, the joint engagement partners and their engagement teams collectively constitute the “group engagement partner” and “engagement team” for the purposes of <u>GAAS the ISAs</u>. This <u>proposed SAS ISA</u> does not, however, deal with the relationship between joint auditors or the work that one joint auditor performs in relation to the work of the other joint auditor for purposes of the group audit.</p> <p><i>Group Financial Statements</i> (Ref. Para. 1A, 9(k))</p> <p>A16. The requirements for the preparation and presentation of the group financial statements may be specified in the applicable financial reporting framework, which may therefore affect the determination of the financial information of entities or business units to be included in the group financial statements. For example, some frameworks require the preparation of consolidated financial statements when an entity (a parent entity) controls one or more other entities (e.g., subsidiaries) through majority ownership interest or other means. In some cases, the applicable financial reporting framework includes separate requirements for, or may otherwise permit, the presentation of combined financial statements. Examples of circumstances in which the presentation of combined financial statements may be permitted include entities that have no parent but are under common control or entities under common management.</p>

²¹ [Paragraphs- 9 and 11 of AU-C section ISA 320, Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit, paragraphs 9 and 11](#)

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A16A. The term 'consolidation process' as used in this proposed SASISA is not intended to have the same meaning as 'consolidation' or 'consolidated financial statements' as defined or described in financial reporting frameworks. Rather, the term 'consolidation process' refers more broadly to the process used to prepare group financial statements. Also see paragraph 1A.</p> <p>A16B. The detailed aspects of the consolidation process vary from one group to another, depending on the group's structure and information system, including the financial reporting process. However, a consolidation process involves considerations such as the elimination of intragroup transactions and balances and, when applicable, implications of different reporting periods for entities or business units included in the group financial statements.</p>
10. Reference in this proposed SAS sectionISA to "the applicable financial reporting framework" means the financial reporting framework that applies to the group financial statements.	<p><i>Considerations Specific to Governmental Entities</i> (Ref. Para. 1A, 10(k))</p> <p><u>AXX. In audits of state and local governments, the applicable financial reporting framework may be based on multiple reporting units. Therefore, the consolidation process may involve the inclusion, but separate presentation, of the financial statements of each reporting unit in the governmental entity. [Extant AU-C 600.A12]</u></p>
Leadership Responsibilities for Managing and Achieving Quality on a Group Audit	
12. In applying proposed QM SAS sectionISA—220 (Revised), ²² the group engagement partner is required to take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the group audit engagement. In doing so, the group engagement partner should shall: (Ref. Para. A19–A20)	<p>Leadership Responsibilities for Managing and Achieving Quality on a Group Audit (Ref. Para. 6, 12)</p> <p>A19. It may not be possible or practical for the group engagement partner to solely deal with all requirements in proposed QM SASISA 220 (Revised), particularly when the engagement team includes a large number of component auditors located in multiple locations. In managing quality at the engagement level, proposed QM SASISA 220</p>
(a) Take responsibility for creating an environment for	

²² [Paragraph of 13 of ~~proposed QM SAS~~ISA 220 \(Revised\), paragraph 13](#)

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>the engagement that emphasizes the expected behavior of engagement team members. (Ref. Para. A20A)</p> <p>(b) Be sufficiently and appropriately involved throughout the group audit engagement, including in the work of component auditors <u>as described by this proposed SAS</u>, such that the group engagement partner has the basis for determining whether the significant judgments made, and the conclusions reached, are appropriate given the nature and circumstances of the group audit engagement.</p>	<p>(Revised)²³ permits the engagement partner to assign responsibilities for the design or performance of procedures, tasks or actions to appropriately skilled or suitably experienced members of the engagement team to assist the engagement partner. Accordingly, the group engagement partner may assign responsibilities to other members of the engagement team and these members may assign responsibilities further. In such circumstances, <u>proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)</u> requires that the engagement partner shall<u>should</u> continue to take overall responsibility for managing and achieving quality on the engagement.²⁴</p> <p>A19A. <u>[Moved to paragraph A20A]</u></p> <p>A20. Policies or procedures established by the firm, or that are common network requirements or network services,²⁵ may support the group engagement partner by facilitating communication between the group auditor and component auditors and —, <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, supporting the group auditor’s direction and supervision of those component auditors and the review of their work.</p> <p>A20A. In addressing the requirements in <u>paragraph 12(a)</u> of this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u>, direct communication with other members of the engagement team, including component auditors and leading by example in the group engagement partner’s personal conduct and actions helps to reinforce a commitment to quality. As explained in <u>proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)</u>,²⁶ a culture that demonstrates a commitment to quality is further shaped and reinforced by the engagement team members as they demonstrate expected behaviors when performing the engagement. <u>[Previously paragraph A19A]</u></p>
Acceptance and Continuance	

²³ Paragraph 15 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 15

²⁴ Paragraph 15 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 15

²⁵ Paragraphs 498–532 of ISQMS 1, paragraphs 48–52

²⁶ Paragraph A28 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A28

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>13. Before accepting or continuing the group audit engagement, the group engagement partner should<u>shall</u> determine whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence can reasonably be expected to be obtained <u>through the group auditor’s work or use of the work of component auditors (that is, through assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors or through making reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor’s report [Extant AU-C 600.15])</u> to provide a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A21–A23)</p>	<p>Acceptance and Continuance</p> <p><i>Determining Whether Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence Can Reasonably Be Expected to Be Obtained</i> (Ref: Para. 13–14)</p> <p>A21. In determining whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence can reasonably be expected to be obtained <u>(including when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors or making reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor’s report)</u>, the group engagement partner may obtain an understanding of matters such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group structure, including both the legal and organizational structure. • Activities that are significant to the group, including the industry and regulatory, economic and political environments in which those activities take place. • The use of service organizations. • The use of shared service centers. • The consolidation process. • Whether the group auditor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Will have unrestricted access to those charged with governance of the group, group management, those charged with governance of the component, component management, component information; and ○ Will be able to perform necessary work on the financial information of the components when applicable. • Whether sufficient and appropriate resources are assigned or will be made available. <p>A21A. There may be more complexities with obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence in a group audit with components in jurisdictions other than the group auditor’s jurisdiction because of cultural and language differences and different laws or regulations (e.g., regulations restricting access to audit documentation (see</p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>paragraphs A129–A130)).</p> <p>A22. In the case of an initial group audit engagement, the group auditor’s understanding of the matters in paragraph A21 may be obtained from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information provided by group management; • Communication with group management; • Communication with those charged with governance of the group; and • Where applicable, communication with component management or the predecessor auditor. <p>A23. For a continuing engagement, obtaining audit evidence may be affected by significant changes, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes in the group structure (e.g., acquisitions, disposals, reorganizations, or changes in how the group financial reporting system is organized). • Changes in components’ activities that are significant to the group. • Changes in the composition of those charged with governance of the group, group management, or key management of components for which audit procedures are expected to be performed. • New concerns the group auditor has with regard to the integrity and competence of group or component management. • Changes in the applicable financial reporting framework.
<p>14. If, after the acceptance or continuance of the group audit engagement, the group engagement partner concludes that sufficient appropriate audit evidence cannot be obtained, the group engagement partner should consider the possible effects on the group audit. (Ref. Para. A25)</p>	<p>A25. Restrictions may be imposed after the group engagement partner’s acceptance of the group audit engagement that may affect the engagement team’s ability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Such restrictions may include those affecting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group auditor’s access to component information, management or those charged with governance of components, or the component auditors (including relevant audit documentation sought by the group auditor) (see paragraph 16 and 17); or • The work to be performed on the financial information of components.

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	An inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence would need to be evaluated, in accordance with <u>AU-C section ISA 705, <i>Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor's Report (Revised)</i></u> , ²⁷ in forming an opinion on the group financial statements. <u>AU-C section ISA 705 (Revised)</u> ²⁸ also addresses circumstances in which such restrictions may lead to withdrawal from the engagement, where withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation.
<p><i>Terms of the Engagement</i></p> <p>15. In applying <u>AU-C section ISA 210, <i>Terms of Engagement</i></u>²⁹ the group auditor shouldshall obtain the agreement of group management that it acknowledges and understands its responsibility to provide the engagement team with: (Ref: Para. A26)</p> <p>(a) Access to all information of which group management is aware that is relevant to the preparation of the group financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;</p> <p>(b) Additional information that the engagement team may request from group management and component management for the purpose of the group audit; and</p> <p>(c) Unrestricted access to persons within the group from whom the engagement team determines it necessary to obtain audit evidence.</p>	<p><i>Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements</i> (Ref: Para. 15)</p> <p>A26. <u>AU-C section ISA 210</u> requires the auditor to agree the terms of the audit engagement with management or those charged with governance, as appropriate.⁴⁵ The terms of engagement identify the applicable financial reporting framework.⁴⁶ Additional matters <u>that</u> may be included in the terms of a group audit engagement <u>include whether reference will be made to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor's report on the group financial statements, when relevant, or arrangements to facilitate the following, such as:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Unrestricted C</u>ommunications between the group auditor and component auditors, should be unrestricted to the extent possible under <u>permitted by</u> laws or regulations; • <u>Important — c</u>ommunication <u>to the group auditor of important communications</u> between <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ component auditors and those charged with governance of the component or component management, including communications on significant deficiencies <u>and material weaknesses</u> in internal control, should be communicated to the group auditor;

²⁷ AU-C section ISA 705 (Revised), *Modifications to the Opinion in the Independent Auditor's Report*

²⁸ Paragraph 13(b)(ii) of AU-C section ISA 705 (Revised), *paragraph 13(b)(i)*

²⁹ Paragraphs- 6(b) and 8-(b) of AU-C section ISA 210, *Agreeing the Terms of Audit Engagements*, *paragraphs 6(b) and 8(b)*

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Communications between regulatory authorities and entities or business units related to financial reporting matters that may be relevant to the group audit; should be communicated to the group auditor; and • Permission for Tthe group auditor should be permitted to perform work, or request a component auditor to perform work, at the component. [Extant AU-C600.A28]
<p><i>Restrictions on Access Outside the Control of Group Management</i></p> <p>16. If the group engagement partner concludes that group management cannot provide the engagement team with access to information or unrestricted access to persons within the group due to restrictions that are outside the control of group management, the group engagement partner shouldshall consider the possible effects on the group audit. (Ref: Para. A27–A32)</p>	<p><i>Restrictions on Access to Information or People</i> (Ref: Para. 16–17)</p> <p>A27. Restrictions on access to information or people do not alleviate the requirement for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.</p> <p>A28. Access to information or people can be restricted for many reasons, such as restrictions imposed by component management, laws or regulations or other conditions, for example, war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease. Paragraph A130 describes how the group auditor may be able to overcome restrictions on access to component auditor audit documentation.</p> <p>A29. In some circumstances, the group auditor may be able to overcome restrictions on access to information or people, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If access to component management or those charged with governance of the component is restricted, the group auditor may request group management or those charged with governance of the group to assist with removing the restriction or otherwise request information directly from group management or those charged with governance of the group. [previously last bullet paragraph A29] • If the group has a non-controlling interest in an entity that is accounted for by the equity method, the group auditor may be able to overcome restrictions by determining whether provisions exist (e.g., in the terms of joint venture

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>agreements, or the terms of other investment agreements) regarding access by the group to the financial information of the entity, and requesting management to exercise such rights.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the group has a non-controlling interest in an entity that is accounted for by the equity method and the group has representatives who are on the executive board or are members of those charged with governance of the non-controlled entity, the group auditor may discuss with them the non-controlled entity's operations and financial status and obtain financial and other information available to them in their roles. [previously last bullet paragraph A29] <p>A29A. When the group has a non-controlling interest in an entity that is accounted for by the equity method and the group auditor's access to information or people at the entity is restricted, the group auditor may still be able to obtain information to be used as audit evidence in respect of the entity's financial information, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial information that is available from group management, as group management also needs to obtain the entity's financial information in order to prepare the group financial statements. Publicly available information, such as audited financial statements, public disclosure documents, or quoted prices of equity instruments in the non-controlled entity. <p>It is a matter of professional judgment, particularly in view of the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements and considering other sources of information that may corroborate or otherwise contribute to audit evidence obtained, whether the auditor can overcome the restrictions to enable the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence.</p> <p>A30. When the group auditor cannot overcome restrictions, communicating the restrictions to the group auditor's firm can assist the group auditor in determining an appropriate course of action. For example, the group auditor's firm may:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communicate about the restrictions with group management and encourage group management to communicate with regulators. This may be useful when

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>restrictions affect multiple audits in the jurisdiction or by the same firm, for example, because of war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease in a major economy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be required by law or regulation to communicate with regulators, listing authorities, or others, about the restrictions or how to overcome the restrictions.
<p><i>Restrictions on Access Imposed by Group Management</i></p> <p>17. If the group engagement partner concludes that:</p> <p>(a) It will not be possible for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence due to restrictions imposed by group management; and</p> <p>(b) The possible effect of this limitation will result in a disclaimer of opinion on the group financial statements,</p> <p>the group engagement partner shouldshall either:</p> <p>(i) In the case of an initial engagement, not accept the engagement, or, in the case of a continuing engagement, withdraw from the engagement, when re withdrawal is possible under applicable law or regulation; or</p> <p>(ii) When re the entity is required by law or regulation prohibit to have an auditor from declining an engagement or where withdrawal from an engagement is not otherwise possibleaudit [Extant AU-C 600.16], having performed the audit of the group financial statements to the extent possible, disclaim an opinion on the group</p>	<p>A31. Restrictions on access may have other implications for the group audit. For example, if restrictions are imposed by group management, the group auditor may need to reconsider the reliability of group management’s responses to the group auditor’s inquiries and may call into question group management’s integrity.</p> <p>Effect of Restrictions on the Auditor’s Report on Group Financial Statements</p> <p>A32. AU-C section ISA 705 (Revised) contains requirements and guidance about how to address situations where the group auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence. Appendix 2 to this proposed SAS-ISA contains an example of an auditor’s report containing a qualified group audit opinion based on the group auditor’s inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence in relation to a component that is accounted for by the equity method.</p> <p>Law or Regulation Prohibit the Group Engagement Partner from Declining or Withdrawing from an Engagement</p> <p>A33. Law or regulation may prohibit the group engagement partner from declining or withdrawing from an engagement. For example, in some jurisdictions the auditor is appointed for a specified period of time and is prohibited from withdrawing before the end of that period. Also, in the public sector, the option of declining or withdrawing from an engagement may not be available to the auditor due to the nature of the mandate or public interest considerations. AU-C Section 210, addresses circumstances when an entity is required by law or regulation to have an audit.³⁰ In these circumstances, this proposed SAS-section the requirements in this ISA still applyes to the group</p>

³⁰ Paragraph .07 and A19 of AU-C 210.

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
financial statements. (Ref: Para. A31–A33)	audit, and the effect of the group auditor’s inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is addressed in ISA AU-C section 705 (Revised) . [Extant AU-C 600.A26]
Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan	
<p>17A. In applying AU-C sectionISA 300, the group auditor shouldshall establish, and update as necessary, an overall group audit strategy and group audit plan that includes a determination of: (Ref: Para. A33A–A33D)</p> <p>(a) The components at which audit work will be performed; and (Ref: Para. A33F)</p> <p>(a.1) Whether the auditor’s report on the group financial statements will make reference to the audit of a component auditor [Extant AU-C 600.18]; and</p> <p>(a)(b) The resources needed to perform the group audit engagement, including the nature, timing and extent to which component auditors are to be involved. (Ref: Para. A33G–A33J)</p>	<p>Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan</p> <p><i>The Continual and Iterative Nature of Planning and Performing a Group Audit</i> (Ref: Para. 17A)</p> <p>A33A. As explained in AU-C section ISA-300,³¹ planning is not a discrete phase of an audit, but rather a continual and iterative process that often begins shortly after (or in connection with) the completion of the previous audit and continues until the completion of the current audit engagement. For example, due to unexpected events, changes in conditions, or audit evidence obtained from risk assessment or further audit procedures, the group auditor may need to modify the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan, and the resulting planned nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures, based on the revised consideration of assessed risk. The group auditor may also modify the determination of the components at which to perform audit work as well as the nature, timing and extent of the component auditors’ involvement. ISA AU-C section 300³² requires the auditor to update and change the overall audit strategy and audit plan as necessary during the course of the audit.</p> <p><i>Establishing the Overall Group Audit Strategy and Group Audit Plan</i> (Ref: Para. 17A)</p> <p>A33B. In an initial group audit engagement, the group auditor may have a preliminary understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the entity’s system of internal control based on information obtained from group management, those charged with governance of the group and, where applicable, communication with component management or the predecessor auditor.</p>

³¹ [Paragraph A2 of AU-C section](#)~~ISA~~ 300, [paragraph A2](#)

³² [Paragraph 10 of AU-C section](#)~~ISA~~ 300, [paragraph 10](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>In a recurring group audit engagement, the group auditor’s preliminary understanding may be obtained through the prior period’s audit. This preliminary understanding may assist the group auditor in developing initial expectations about the classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures that may be significant.</p> <p>A33C. The group auditor may also use information obtained during the engagement acceptance and continuance process in establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan, for example, in relation to the resources needed to perform the group audit. [Previously paragraph A33E]</p> <p>A33D. The process of establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan and initial expectations about the classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures that may be significant at the group financial statement level may assist the group auditor in developing a preliminary determination of matters such as:³³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether to perform audit work centrally, at components or a combination thereof; and • The nature, timing and extent of audit work to be performed with respect to the financial information of a components (e.g., design and perform risk assessment procedures, further audit procedures, or a combination thereof). <p>A33E. [Moved to paragraph A33C]</p> <p><i>Components at Which to Perform Audit Work</i> (Ref. Para. 17A(a))</p> <p>A33F. The determination of components at which to perform audit work is a matter of professional judgment. Matters that may influence the group auditor’s determination include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The nature of events or conditions that may give rise to risks of material misstatement at the assertion level of the group financial statements that are associated with a component, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Newly formed or acquired entities or business units.

³³ Paragraph 7 of ~~AU-C section~~ ISA SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 7

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Entities or business units in which significant changes have taken place. ○ Significant transactions with related parties. ○ Significant transactions outside the normal course of business. ○ Abnormal fluctuations identified by analytical procedures performed at group level, as required by SAS No. 145ISA 315 (Revised 2019).³⁴ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The disaggregation of significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures in the group financial statements across components, considering the size and nature of assets, liabilities and transactions, at the location or business unit relative to the group financial statements. ● Whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence is expected to be obtained for all significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures in the group financial statements from audit work planned. ● The nature and extent of misstatements or control deficiencies identified at the component in prior period audits. ● The nature and extent of common controls and whether, and if so, how, the group centralizes activities relevant to financial reporting. <p><u>Considerations Specific to Governmental Entities</u> (Ref: Para. 17A(a))</p> <p><u>AXX. In audits of governmental entities, matters that may influence the group auditor’s determination of components at which to perform audit work include, for example, the disaggregation of significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures (e.g., net costs or total budget) in the group financial statements across components, considering the size and nature of assets, liabilities and transactions, at the component unit, business activity, department or program location of business unit relative to the group financial statements. Qualitative considerations in audits of governmental entities may involve matters of heightened public sensitivity, such as national security issues, donor funded projects, or reporting of tax revenue. [Extant AU-C 600.A8]</u></p>

³⁴ Paragraph 14(b) of [ISA SAS No. 145 ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\)](#), paragraph 14(b)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><i>Resources</i> (Ref: Para. 17A(b))</p> <p>A33G. Factors that influence the group auditor’s determination of the resources needed to perform the group audit and the nature, timing and extent to which component auditors are to be involved are a matter of professional judgment and include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The understanding of the group, the components within the group at which audit work is to be performed and whether to perform work centrally, at components or a combination thereof. • The knowledge and experience of the engagement team. For example, component auditors may have greater experience and a more in-depth knowledge than the group auditor of the local industries in which components operate, local laws or regulations, business practices, language and culture. In addition, the involvement of <u>specialists</u> experts may be needed on complex matters. • The initial expectations about the potential risks of material misstatement. • The amount or location of resources to allocate to specific audit areas. For example, the extent to which components are dispersed across multiple locations may impact the need to involve component auditors in specific locations. • Access arrangements. For example, when the group auditor’s access to a component in a particular jurisdiction is restricted, component auditors may need to be involved. • The nature of the components’ activities, including their complexity or specialization of operations. • The group’s system of internal control, including the information system in place, and its degree of centralization. For example, the involvement of component auditors may be more likely when the system of internal control is decentralized. • Previous experience with the component auditor.

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A33H. Component auditors may be involved throughout the different phases of an audit. Ffor example, <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors</u>, component auditors may:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform risk assessment procedures; and • Respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement. <p><u> </u>The nature, timing and extent to which component auditors are to be involved depends on the facts and circumstances of the group audit engagement. Often component auditors will be involved in all phases of the audit, but the group auditor may decide to involve component auditors only in a certain phase. When the group auditor does not intend to involve component auditors in risk assessment procedures, the group auditor may still discuss with component auditors whether there are any significant changes in the business or the system of internal control of the component that could have an effect on the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.</p> <p>A33I. <u>AU-C section ISA</u> 300³⁵ requires the group engagement partner and other key members of the engagement team to be involved in planning the audit. The involvement of component auditors in planning the audit draws on their experience and insight, thereby enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of the planning process. When component auditors are involved, <u>in the group audit and the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors</u>, one or more individuals from a component auditor may be key members of the engagement team and therefore involved in planning the audit. The group engagement partner uses professional judgment in determining which component auditors to involve in planning the audit. This may be affected by the nature, timing and extent to which the component auditors are expected to be involved in designing and performing risk assessment or further audit procedures.</p> <p>A33J. As described in <u>proposed ISQMS</u> 1,³⁶ there may be circumstances when the fee</p>

³⁵ Paragraph 5 of AU-C section ISA 300, ~~paragraph 5~~

³⁶ Paragraph A78 of ISQMS 1, ~~paragraph A74~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>quoted for an engagement is not sufficient given the nature and circumstances of the engagement, and it may diminish the firm’s ability to perform the engagement in accordance with professional standards and applicable legal or regulatory requirements. The level of fees, including their allocation to component auditors, and the extent to which they relate to the resources required may be a special consideration by the firm for group audit engagements. For example, in a group audit the firm’s financial and operational priorities may place constraints on the determination of the components at which audit work will be performed, as well as the resources needed, including the involvement of component auditors. In such circumstances, these constraints do not override the group engagement partner’s responsibility for achieving quality at the engagement level or the requirements for the group auditor to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on which to base the group audit opinion. [Previously paragraph A39]</p>
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>18. In establishing the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan, the group engagement partner should<u>shall</u> evaluate whether the group auditor will be able to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in the work of the component auditor <u>as described by this proposed SAS.</u> (Ref: Para. A34)</p>	<p><i>Consideration When Component Auditors Are Involved</i> (Ref: Para. 18–19)</p> <p>A34. In evaluating whether the group auditor will be able to be sufficiently and appropriately involved in the work of the component auditor, the group auditor may obtain an understanding of whether the component auditor is subject to any restrictions that limit communication with the group auditor, including with regard to sharing audit documentation with the group auditor. The group auditor may also obtain an understanding about whether audit evidence related to components located in a different jurisdiction may be in a different language and may need to be translated for use by the group auditor.</p>
<p>19. As part of the evaluation in <u>paragraph 18</u>, the group auditor should<u>shall</u> request the component auditor to confirm that the component auditor will cooperate with the group auditor, including whether the component auditor will perform the work requested by the group auditor <u>as</u></p>	<p>A35A. When the component auditor is unable to cooperate with the group auditor, the group auditor may:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request the component auditor to provide its rationale. • <u>Be</u> able to take appropriate action to address the matter, including adjusting the nature of the work requested to be performed or not involving the component

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>described by this proposed SAS. (Ref: Para. A35A)</p>	<p>auditor in obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence relating to the work to be performed at the component (in accordance with paragraph 22).</p>
<p>Relevant Ethical Requirements, Including Those Related to Independence</p> <p>20. In applying proposed QM SASISA section—220 (Revised),³⁷ the group engagement partner shouldshall take responsibility for: (Ref: Para. A36–A37, A52J)</p> <p>(a) Component auditors having been made aware of relevant ethical requirements that are applicable given the nature and circumstances of the group audit engagement; and</p> <p>(b) Confirming whether the component auditors understand and will comply with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement, including those related to independence.</p>	<p>Relevant Ethical Requirements, Including Those Related to Independence (Ref: Para. 20)</p> <p>A36. When performing work at a component for a group audit engagement, the component auditor is subject to ethical requirements, including those related to independence, that are relevant to the group audit engagement. Such requirements may be different or in addition to those applying to the component auditor when performing an audit on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that is part of the group for statutory, regulatory or other reasons in the component auditor’s jurisdiction. <u>When the component auditor is not subject to the AICPA Code of Professional Conduct, compliance by the component auditor with the ethics and independence requirements set forth in the International Federation of Accountants Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants is sufficient to fulfill the component auditor’s ethical responsibilities in the group audit. [Extant AU-C 600.A46]</u></p> <p>A37. In making the component auditors aware of relevant ethical requirements, the group auditor may consider whether additional information or training for component auditors is necessary regarding the provisions of the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement.</p> <p>A39. [Moved to A33J]</p>
<p>Engagement Resources</p> <p>21. In applying proposed QM SASISA section—220 (Revised),³⁸ the group engagement partner shouldshall:</p>	<p>Engagement Resources (Ref: Para. 21)</p> <p>A40A. When sufficient or appropriate resources are not made available in relation to work to be performed by a component auditor, the group engagement partner may discuss</p>

³⁷ [Paragraphs 16-17 and 21 -of proposed QM SAS](#)~~ISA 220 (Revised)~~, [paragraphs 16–17 and 21](#)

³⁸ [Paragraphs 25-26 -of proposed QM SAS](#)~~ISA 220 (Revised)~~, [paragraphs 25–26](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(Ref: Para. A40A–A40B)</p> <p>(a) Determine that component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform the assigned workaudit procedures at the component; and (Ref: Para. A41–A45)</p> <p>(b) If information about the results of the monitoring and remediation process or external inspections related to the component auditor's firm has been provided by the firm or has otherwise been made available to the group engagement partner, determine the relevance of such information to the group auditor's determination in paragraph 21(a).</p>	<p>the matter with the component auditor, group management or the firm and may subsequently request the component auditor or the firm to make sufficient and appropriate resources available.</p> <p>A40B. Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)³⁹ provides guidance regarding matters the engagement partner may take into account when determining the competence and capabilities of the engagement team. This determination is particularly important in a group audit because the engagement team may include component auditors. Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)⁴⁰ indicates that the firm's policies or procedures may require the firm or the engagement partner to take different actions from those applicable to personnel when obtaining an understanding of whether an individual from another firm has the appropriate competence and capabilities to perform the audit engagement. For example, as part of the confirmation required by paragraph 19, the group auditor may ask the component auditor to confirm that the component auditor has the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time to perform the assigned audit procedures at the component.</p> <p>Competence and capabilities of the component auditors</p> <p>A41. Determining whether component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities is a matter of professional judgment and is influenced by the nature and circumstances of the group audit engagement. When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, tThis determination influences the nature, timing and extent of the group engagement partner's direction and supervision of the component auditor and the review of their work.</p> <p>A42. In determining whether component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities to perform the necessary procedures at the component for purposes of the group audit, the group engagement partner may consider matters such as:</p>

³⁹ ~~Paragraph A71 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A71~~

⁴⁰ ~~Paragraph A24 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A24~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous experience with or knowledge of the component auditor. • <u>The component auditor’s specialized skills (e.g., industry specific knowledge e or <u>knowledge of relevant financial reporting requirements for statements and schedules to be filed with regulatory agencies</u>). [Extant AU-C 600.A48]</u> • <u>The component auditor’s understanding of the applicable financial reporting framework relevant to the group financial statements, and any instructions provided by group management.</u> • <u>The component auditor’s understanding of the auditing and other standards applicable to the group audit, such as GAAS that is sufficient to fulfill the component auditor’s responsibilities.</u> [Extant AU-C 600.A48] • The degree to which the group auditor and component auditor are subject to a common system of quality management, for example, whether the group auditor and a component auditor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Use common resources to perform the work (e.g., audit methodologies or information technology (IT) applications); ○ Share common policies or procedures affecting engagement performance (e.g., direction and supervision and review of work or consultation); ○ Are subject to common monitoring activities; or ○ Have other commonalities, including common leadership or a common cultural environment. • The consistency or similarity of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Laws or regulations or legal system; ○ Language and culture; ○ Education and training; ○ Professional oversight, discipline, and external quality assurance; or ○ Professional organizations and standards.

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information obtained about the component auditor through interactions with component management, those charged with governance, and other key personnel, such as internal auditors. <p>A43. The procedures to determine the component auditor’s competency and capability may include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An evaluation of the information communicated by the group auditor’s firm to the group auditor, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The firm’s ongoing communication related to monitoring and remediation, in circumstances when the group auditor and component auditor are from the same firm.⁴¹ ○ Information from the network about the results of the monitoring activities undertaken by the network across the network firms.⁴² ○ Information obtained from professional body(ies) to which the component auditor belongs, the authorities by which the component auditor is licensed, or other third parties. • Discussing the matters in paragraph A51 with the component auditor. • Requesting the component auditor to confirm the matters referred to in paragraph 20 in writing. • Discussing the component auditor’s competence and capabilities with colleagues in the group engagement partner’s firm that have worked directly with the component auditor. • In subsequent years, requesting that the component auditor confirm whether anything in relation to the matters listed in paragraph 21(a)–(b) has changed since the previous year. • Obtaining published external inspection reports, <u>peer review reports on the</u>

⁴¹ [Paragraph 487 of ISQMS 1, paragraph 47](#)

⁴² [Paragraph 52 of ISQMS 1, paragraph 51](#)

<u>AU-C ISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>component auditor's firm and other relevant publicly available information relating to the professional reputation and standing of a component auditor.</u></p> <p>A44. The group engagement partner's firm and the component auditor's firm may be members of the same network and may be subject to common network requirements or use common networks services.⁴³ When determining whether component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities to perform work in support of the group audit engagement, the group engagement partner may be able to depend on such network requirements, for example, those addressing professional training, or recruitment or that require the use of audit methodologies and related implementation tools. In accordance with <u>proposed ISQMS 1</u>,⁴⁴ the firm is responsible for designing, implementing and operating its system of quality management, and the firm may need to adapt or supplement network requirements or network services to be appropriate for use in its system of quality management.</p> <p>Using the Work of an Auditor's <u>SpecialistExpert</u></p> <p>A44A. When using the work of an auditor's <u>specialist expert</u>, <u>AU-C section ISA 620, Using the Work of an Auditor's Specialist</u>⁴⁵ requires the auditor to evaluate whether the auditor's <u>specialists expert</u> has the necessary competence, capabilities and objectivity for the auditor's purposes. In a group audit these evaluations include auditor's <u>specialists experts</u> engaged by component auditors.</p> <p>Automated tools or techniques</p> <p>A45. As described in <u>proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)</u>,⁴⁶ when determining whether the engagement team has the appropriate competence and capabilities, the group</p>

⁴³ Paragraphs A20, A187 of ISQMS 1, paragraphs A19, A175

⁴⁴ Paragraph 48–49–50 of ISQMS 1, paragraph 48–49

⁴⁵ Paragraph 9 of AU-C section ISA 620, Using the Work of an Auditor's SpecialistExpert, paragraph 9

⁴⁶ Paragraph A65 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A65

_AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>engagement partner may take into consideration such matters as the expertise of the component auditor in the use of automated tools or techniques. When the <u>group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor and if the group auditor requires component auditors to use specific automated tools and techniques when performing audit procedures, the group auditor may include in communications with component auditors that the use of such automated tools and techniques need to comply with the group auditor’s instructions.</u></p>
<p>22. The group auditor should<u>shall</u> obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence relating to the work to be performed at the component without involving that component auditor <u>(that is, without assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors or without making reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor’s report)</u> if:</p> <p>(a) The component auditor does not comply with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement, including those related to independence; or (Ref: Para. A46A–A47)</p> <p>(b) The group engagement partner has serious concerns about the matters in <u>paragraphs 18–21, (Ref: Para. A48)</u></p>	<p>Application of the Group Auditor’s Understanding of a Component Auditor (Ref: Para. 22)</p> <p>A46A. <u>Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)</u>⁴⁷ requires the engagement partner to take responsibility for other members of the engagement team having been made aware of relevant ethical requirements that are applicable given the nature and circumstances of the audit engagement, and the firm’s related policies or procedures. This includes policies or procedures that address circumstances that may cause a breach of relevant ethical requirements, including those related to independence, and the responsibilities of members of the engagement team when they become aware of breaches. The firm’s policies or procedures also may address breaches of independence requirements by component auditors, and actions the group auditor may take in those circumstances in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements.</p> <p>A47. If a component auditor does not comply with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit engagement, including those related to independence-, the group auditor cannot overcome the breach and continue to use the work of a component auditor by being involved in their work, or by supplementing their work, <u>or by making reference in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements to the audit of the component auditor. [Extant AU-C 600.A49]</u></p> <p>A48. Serious concerns are those concerns that cannot be overcome. The group</p>

⁴⁷ Paragraph 17 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 17

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>engagement partner may be able to overcome less than serious concerns about the component auditor’s professional competency (e.g., lack of industry specific knowledge), or the fact that the component auditor does not operate in an environment that actively oversees auditors, by the group auditor being more involved in the work of the component auditor or by directly performing further audit procedures on the financial information of the component <u>or, when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, by the group auditor being more involved in the work of the component auditor.</u></p>
<p>Engagement Performance</p> <p>23. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor.</u> In applying proposed QM SAS section ISA 220 (Revised),⁴⁸ the group engagement partner shouldshall take responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work, taking into account: (Ref: Para. A48A–A52)</p> <p>(a) Areas of higher assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, or significant risks identified in accordance with <u>SAS No. 145 section SA 315 (Revised 2019)</u>; and</p> <p>(b) Areas in the audit of the group financial statements that involve significant judgment.</p>	<p>Engagement Performance (Ref: Para. 23)</p> <p>A48A. For a group audit, the approach to direction, supervision and review will be tailored by the group auditor based on the facts and circumstances of the engagement, <u>including whether the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> and will generally include a combination of addressing the group auditor’s firm policies or procedures and group audit-engagement specific responses.</p> <p>A49. It may be not possible or practical for the group engagement partner to solely determine the nature, timing and extent of direction, supervision and review, particularly when the engagement team includes a large number of component auditors that may be located in multiple locations. In managing quality at the engagement level, the group engagement partner may assign such responsibilities to other members of the engagement team.</p> <p>A50. If component auditors are from a firm other than the group auditor’s firm, the firm’s policies or procedures may be different, or different actions may need to be taken, respectively, in relation to the nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of those members of the engagement team, and the review of their work. In particular, firm policies or procedures may require the firm or the group engagement partner to take different actions from those applicable to members of the engagement team within the firm or the network. For example, in relation to the form, content and timing</p>

⁴⁸ [Paragraph of 29 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 \(Revised\), paragraph 29](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>of communications with component auditors, including the use of group auditor instructions to component auditors. Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised) provides examples of actions that may need to be taken in such circumstances.⁴⁹</p> <p>A51. When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, the nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors and review of their work may be tailored based on the nature and circumstances of the engagement and, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The assessed risks of material misstatement. For example, if the group auditor has identified a component that includes a significant risk, an increase in the extent of direction and supervision of the component auditor and a more detailed review of the component auditor’s audit documentation may be appropriate. • The competence and capabilities of the component auditors performing the audit work. For example, if the group auditor has no previous experience working with a component auditor, the group auditor may communicate more detailed instructions, introduce greater in-person supervision, increase the frequency of discussions with component auditors, or assign more experienced group auditors to oversee the component auditor as the work is performed. • The location of engagement team members, including the extent to which engagement team members are dispersed across multiple locations, including where service delivery centers are used. • Access to component auditors’ audit documentation. For example, where component auditor working papers cannot be transferred out of the jurisdiction, more extensive direction and supervision of the component auditor and review of the component auditor’s audit documentation may be appropriate (see also paragraphs A27–A32). <p>A52. There are different ways in which the group engagement partner may take responsibility for directing and supervising component auditors and reviewing their</p>

⁴⁹ ~~Paragraph A24 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph A24~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>work; <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings or calls with component auditors to communicate about identified and assessed risks, issues, findings and conclusions. • Reviews of the component auditor’s documentation in person or remotely when permitted by law and regulation. • Participating in the closing or other key meetings between the component auditors and component management.
<p>Communications with Component Auditors</p> <p>23A. The group auditor should<u>shall</u> communicate with component auditors about their respective responsibilities and the group auditor’s expectations. (Ref: Para. A52A-A52J)</p>	<p><i>Communications with Component Auditors</i> (Ref: Para. 23A-23B)</p> <p>A52A. Clear and timely communication between the group auditor and the component auditors about their respective responsibilities, along with clear direction to the component auditors about the nature, timing and extent of the work to be performed and the matters expected to be communicated to the group auditor, helps establish the basis for effective two-way communication. Effective two-way communication between the group auditor and the component auditors also helps to set expectations for component auditors and <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of component auditors, it</u> facilitates the group auditor’s direction and supervision of them and the review of their work. Such communication also provides an opportunity for the group engagement partner to reinforce the need for component auditors to exercise professional skepticism in the work performed for purposes of the group audit. [Previously paragraph A9B]</p> <p>A52B. Other factors that may also contribute to effective two-way communication include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarity of the instructions to the component auditor, particularly when the component auditor is from another firm and may not be familiar with the policies or procedures of the group auditor. • A mutual understanding that the component auditor may raise questions about the audit work requested to be performed, based on the component auditor’s

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
	<p>knowledge and understanding of the component.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mutual understanding of relevant issues and the expected actions arising from the communication process. • The form of communications. For example, it may be better to discuss matters that need timely attention in a meeting rather than by exchanging emails. • A mutual understanding of the person(s) from the group auditor and component auditors who have responsibility for managing communications regarding particular matters. • The process for the component auditor to take action and report back on matters communicated by the group auditor. <p>A52C. The communications between the group auditor and component auditors depend on the facts and circumstances of the group audit engagement, including the nature and extent of involvement of the component auditors and the degree to which the group auditor and component auditors are subject to common systems of quality management or common network requirements or services.</p> <p>Form of communications</p> <p>A52D. The form of the communications between the group auditor and component auditors may vary based on factors such as the nature of the audit work the component auditors have been requested to perform, and the extent to which communication capabilities are integrated into the audit tools used for the group audit.</p> <p>A52E. The form of communications also may be affected by such factors as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The significance, complexity or urgency of the matter. • <u>Whether the matter has been or is expected to be communicated to group management and those charged with governance of the group.</u> • <u>Whether the group auditor and component auditor are from the same firm or network firms.</u>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A52F. Communication between the group auditor and the component auditor may not necessarily be in writing. However, the group auditor’s verbal communications with the component auditors may be supplemented by written communication, such as a set of instructions regarding the work to be performed, <u>when the group auditor wants to give particular attention to, or promote a mutual understanding about, certain matters.</u> Appendix C, “Required and Additional Matters Included in the Group Auditor’s Letter of Instructions,” contains guidance on required and additional matters that may be included in such a set of instructions. In addition, the group auditor may meet in person with the component auditor to discuss significant matters or to review relevant parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditors.</u></p> <p>A52G. Paragraph 44 requires the group auditor to request the component auditor to communicate matters relevant to the group auditor’s conclusion with regard to the group audit. As explained in paragraph A112A, the form and content of the component auditor’s deliverables are influenced by the nature and extent of the audit work the component auditor <u>has been requested to performed and whether reference is made in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements to the audit of a component auditor.</u></p> <p>A52H. Regardless of the form of communication, the documentation requirements of this <u>proposed SAS</u> and other <u>AU-C sections-ISAs</u> apply.</p> <p>Timing of communications (Ref. Para. 23B)</p> <p>A52I. The appropriate timing of communications will vary with the circumstances of the engagement. Relevant circumstances may include the nature, timing and extent of work to be performed by the component auditor and the action expected to be taken by the component auditor. For example, communications regarding planning matters may often be made early in the audit engagement and, for an initial group audit, may be made as part of agreeing the terms of the engagement.</p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>Non-compliance with laws or regulations (Ref: Para. 20, 23A)</p> <p>A52J. In applying ISA 250 (Revised),⁵⁰ the group engagement partner may become aware of information about non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws or regulations. In such circumstances, the group engagement partner may have an obligation under relevant ethical requirements, laws or regulations, to communicate the matter to the component auditor.⁵¹ The obligation of the group engagement partner to communicate non-compliance or suspected non-compliance may extend to components that are not included in the scope of the group audit (e.g., components for which an audit is required by statute law or, regulation or performed for another reason, as engaged by component management, but for which no procedures are performed for purposes of the group audit).</p>
<p>23B. Communications between the group auditor and component auditors shouldshall take place at appropriate points in time throughout the group audit. (Ref: Para. A52I)</p>	
Understanding the Group and Its Environment, the Applicable Financial Reporting Framework and the Group’s System of Internal Control	
<p>24. In applying SAS No. 145 section ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁵² the group auditor shouldshall take responsibility for obtaining an understanding of the following: (Ref: Para. A53–A55A, A69–A70)</p> <p>(a) The group and its environment, including: (Ref: Para. A56–A58)</p> <p>(i) The group’s organizational structure and its</p>	<p>Understanding the Group and Its Environment, the Applicable Financial Reporting Framework and the Group’s System of Internal Control (Ref: Para. 24)</p> <p>A53. SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019) contains requirements and guidance regarding the auditor’s responsibility to obtain an understanding of the entity and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework, and the entity’s system of internal control.⁵³ Appendix 3 of this proposed SAS ISA provides further explanation of the components of the system of internal control in the context of a group, including</p>

⁵⁰ ~~ISA Paragraphs 8-9 of AU-C section ISA 250 (Revised), Consideration of Laws and Regulations in an Audit of Financial Statements, paragraphs 8-9~~

⁵¹ See, for example, -360.17 and -360.18 of the IESBA Code

⁵² ~~Paragraphs 19-30 of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraphs 19–26~~

⁵³ ~~Paragraphs 19–3027 and A58–A212A50–A183 of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraphs 19–27, A50–A183~~

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>business model, including:</p> <p>a. The locations in which the group has its operations or activities;</p> <p>b. The nature of the group’s operations or activities and the extent to which they are similar; and</p> <p>c. The extent to which the group’s business model integrates the use of IT;</p> <p>(ii) Regulatory factors impacting the entities and business units in the group; and</p> <p>(iii) The measures used internally and externally to assess the entities or business units’ financial performance;</p> <p>(b) The applicable financial reporting framework and the consistency of accounting policies and practices across the group; and</p> <p>(c) The group’s system of internal control, including:</p> <p>(i) The nature and extent of commonality of <u>tasks and activities, structures, processes, or controls</u>; (Ref: Para. A59–A63)</p> <p>(ii) Whether, and if so, how, the group centralizes activities relevant to financial reporting; (Ref: Para. A64–A65A)</p> <p>(iii) The consolidation process used by the group, including sub-consolidations, if any, and consolidation adjustments; and</p> <p>(iv) How group management communicates</p>	<p>controls over the group’s financial reporting process and the consolidation process.</p> <p>A55. The understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework, and the group’s system of internal control may be obtained through communications with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group management, component management or other appropriate individuals within the entity, including individuals within the internal audit function (if the function exists) and individuals who have knowledge of the group’s system of internal control, accounting policies and practices, and the consolidation process; • Component auditors; or • Auditors that perform an audit for <u>legal/statutory</u>, regulatory or another reason on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that is part of the group. <p>A55A. Obtaining an understanding of the group, identifying risks of material misstatement and assessing inherent risk and control risk may be performed in different ways depending on preferred audit techniques or methodologies and may be expressed in different ways. Accordingly, when <u>the group auditor assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor and</u> component auditors are involved in the design and performance of risk-assessment procedures, the group auditor may need to communicate its preferred approach with component auditors or provide instructions.</p> <p><i>The Group and Its Environment</i> (Ref: Para. 24(a))</p> <p>A56. An understanding of the group’s organizational structure and its business model may enable the group auditor to understand such matters as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The complexity of the group’s structure. A group may be more complex than a single entity because a group may have several subsidiaries, divisions or other business units, including in multiple locations. Also, a group’s legal structure may be different from the operating structure, for example, for tax purposes. Complex structures often introduce factors that may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements, such as whether goodwill, joint

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>significant matters that support the preparation of the group financial statements and related financial reporting responsibilities in the information system and other components of the group's system of internal control to management of entities or business units. (Ref: Para. A66–A68)</p>	<p>ventures or special purpose entities are accounted for appropriately and whether adequate disclosures have been made.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The geographic locations of the group's operations. Having a group that is located in multiple geographical locations may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. For example, different geographical locations may involve different languages, cultures and business practices. • The structure and complexity of the group's IT environment. A complex IT environment often introduces factors that may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. For example, a group may have a complex IT environment because of multiple IT systems that are not integrated due to recent acquisitions or mergers. Therefore, it may be particularly important to obtain an understanding of the complexity of the security over the IT environment, including vulnerability of the IT applications, databases, and other aspects of the IT environment. A group may also use one or more external service providers for aspects of its IT environment. • Relevant regulatory factors, including the regulatory environment. Different laws or regulations may introduce factors that may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. A group may have operations that are subject to a high degree of complex laws or regulations in multiple jurisdictions, or entities or business units in the group that operate in multiple industries that are subject to different types of laws or regulations. • The ownership, and relationships between owners and other people or entities, including related parties. Understanding the ownership and relationships can be more complex in a group that operates over multiple jurisdictions and when there are changes in ownership through formation, acquisition or joint ventures. These factors may give rise to increased susceptibility to material misstatements. <p>A57. Obtaining an understanding of the degree to which the group's operations or activities are similar may enable the group auditor to identify similar risks of material</p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>misstatement across components and design an appropriate response.</p> <p>A58. The financial results of entities or business units are ordinarily measured and reviewed by group management. Inquiries of group management may reveal that group management relies on certain key indicators to evaluate the financial performance of the group's entities and business units and take action. The group auditor's understanding of such performance measures may help to identify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas where there is increased susceptibility to the risk of material misstatement (e.g., due to pressures on component management to meet certain performance measures). • Controls over the group's financial reporting process. <p><i>The Group's System of Internal Control</i></p> <p>The Nature and Extent of Commonality of Controls (Ref: Para. 24(c)(i))</p> <p>A59. Group management may design controls that are intended to operate in a common manner across multiple entities or business units (i.e., common controls). For example, group management may design common controls for inventory management, that operate using the same IT system and that are implemented across all entities or business units in the group. Common controls may exist in each component of the group's system of internal control, and they may be implemented at different levels within the group (e.g., at the level of the consolidated group as a whole, or for other levels of aggregation within the group). Common controls may be direct controls or indirect controls. Direct controls are controls that are precise enough to address risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. Indirect controls are controls that support direct controls.⁵⁴</p> <p>A60. The understanding of the components of the group's system of internal control therefore includes understanding the commonality of the controls within those components across the group. That understanding may help the group auditor to</p>

⁵⁴ Paragraph A5 of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph A5

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>identify, assess and appropriately respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement. When the group auditor plans to test the operating effectiveness of identified controls⁵⁵ that are common across the group, the group auditor evaluates the design and determines the implementation of those controls in accordance with SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019).</p> <p>A61. To determine the commonality of an identified control across the group, the group auditor may consider whether:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The control is designed centrally and required to be implemented as designed (i.e., without modification) at some or all components; • The control is implemented and, if applicable, monitored by individuals with similar responsibilities and capabilities at all the components where the control is implemented; • If a control uses information from IT applications, the IT applications and other aspects of the IT environment that generate the information are the same across the components or locations; or • If the control is automated, it is configured in the same way in each IT application across the components. <p>A62. Judgment may often be needed to determine whether an identified control is a common control. For example, group management may require that all entities and business units perform a monthly evaluation of the aging of customers' accounts that are generated from a specific IT application. When the aging reports are generated from different IT applications or the implementation of the IT application differs across entities or business units, the group auditor may need to consider whether the control can still be determined to be common. This is because of differences in the design of the control that may exist due to the existence of different IT applications (e.g., whether the IT application is configured in the same manner across components, and whether there are effective general IT controls across different IT applications).</p>

⁵⁵ [Paragraph 26-27\(a\) of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\)](#), paragraph 26(a)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A63. Consideration of the level at which controls are performed within the group (e.g., at the level of the consolidated group as a whole or for other levels of aggregation within the group) and the degree of centralization and commonality may be important to the understanding of how information is processed and controlled. In some circumstances, controls may be performed centrally (e.g., performed only at a single entity or business unit), but may have a pervasive effect on other entities or business units (e.g., a shared services center that processes transactions on behalf of other entities or business units within the group). The processing of transactions and related controls at a shared service center may operate in the same way for those transactions being processed by the shared service center regardless of the entity or business unit (e.g., the processes, risks, and controls may be the same regardless of the source of the transaction). In such cases, it may be appropriate to identify the controls and evaluate the design and determine the implementation of the controls, and if applicable test operating effectiveness, as a single population.</p> <p>Centralized Activities (Ref: Para. 24(c)(ii))</p> <p>A64. Group management may centralize some of its activities, for example financial reporting or accounting functions may be performed for a particular group of common transactions or other financial information in a consistent and centralized manner for multiple entities or business units (e.g., where the initiation, authorization, recording, processing, or reporting of revenue transactions is performed at a shared service center).</p> <p>A65. Obtaining an understanding of how centralized activities fit into the overall group structure, and the nature of the activities undertaken, may help the group auditor to identify and assess risks of material misstatement and appropriately respond to such risks. For example, controls at a shared service center may operate independently from other controls, or they may be dependent upon controls at an entity or business unit from which financial information is derived (e.g., sales transactions may be initiated and authorized at a component, but the processing may occur at the shared service center).</p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A65A. The group auditor may involve component auditors in testing the operating effectiveness of common controls or controls at centralized activities. In such circumstances, effective collaboration between the group auditor and component auditors is important as the audit evidence obtained through testing the operating effectiveness of common controls or controls at centralized activities supports the group auditor’s determination of the nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures to be performed across the group.</p> <p>Communications About Significant Matters that Support the Preparation of the Group Financial Statements (Ref: Para. 24(c)(iv))</p> <p>A66. Group entities or business units may use a financial reporting framework for statutory, regulatory or another reason that is different from the financial reporting framework used for the group’s financial statements. In such circumstances, an understanding of group management’s financial reporting processes to align accounting policies and, where relevant, financial reporting period-ends that differ from that of the group, enables the group auditor to understand how adjustments, reconciliations and reclassifications are made, and whether they are made centrally by group management or by the entity or business unit.</p> <p>Instructions by group management to entities or business units</p> <p>A67. In applying SAS No. 145ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁵⁶ the group auditor is required to understand how group management communicates significant matters that support the preparation of the group financial statements. To achieve uniformity and comparability of financial information, group management may issue instructions (e.g., communicate financial reporting policies) to the entities or business units that include details about financial reporting processes or may have policies that are common across the group. Obtaining an understanding of group management’s instructions may affect the group auditor’s identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. For example, in certain</p>

⁵⁶ Paragraph 2825(b) of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 25(b)

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
	<p>circumstances, inadequate instructions may increase the likelihood of misstatements due to the risk that transactions are incorrectly recorded or processed, or that accounting policies are incorrectly <u>or inconsistently</u> applied.</p> <p>A68. The group auditor’s understanding of the instructions or policies may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The clarity and practicality of the instructions for completing the reporting package. • Whether the instructions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Adequately describe the characteristics of the applicable financial reporting framework and the accounting policies to be applied; ○ Address information necessary to prepare disclosures that are sufficient to comply with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework, for example, disclosure of related party relationships and transactions, and segment information; ○ Address information necessary for making consolidation adjustments, for example, intra-group transactions and unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances; and ○ Include a reporting timetable. <p><i>Engagement Team Discussions</i> (Ref: Para. 24)</p> <p>A69. In applying <u>SAS No. 145</u>ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁵⁷ the group engagement partner and other key engagement team members are required to discuss the application of the applicable financial reporting framework and the susceptibility of the entity’s financial statements to material misstatement. The group engagement partner’s determination of which members of the engagement team to include in the discussions and the topics to be discussed, is affected by factors such as initial expectations about the risks of material misstatement and the preliminary expectation on whether to</p>

⁵⁷ Paragraph 17 of SAS No. 145~~ISA 315 (Revised 2019)~~, paragraph 17

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>involve component auditors.</p> <p>A70. The discussions provide an opportunity to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Share knowledge of the components and their environments, including which components' activities are centralized. • Exchange information about the business risks of the components or the group, and how inherent risk factors may affect susceptibility to misstatement of classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures. • Exchange ideas about how and where the group financial statements may be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud or error. For example, ISA <u>AU-C section 240</u> requires the engagement team discussion to place particular emphasis on how and where the entity's financial statements may be susceptible to material misstatement due to fraud, including how fraud may occur.⁵⁸ • Identify policies followed by group or component management that may be biased or designed to manage earnings that could lead to fraudulent financial reporting. • Consider known external and internal factors affecting the group that may create an incentive or pressure for group management, component management, or others to commit fraud, provide the opportunity for fraud to be perpetrated, or indicate a culture or environment that enables group management, component management, or others to rationalize committing fraud. • Consider the risk that group or component management may override controls. • Consider whether uniform accounting policies are used to prepare the financial information of the components for the group financial statements and, where not, how differences in accounting policies are identified and adjusted (where required by the applicable financial reporting framework).

⁵⁸ Paragraph 16 of AU-C section 240, ~~paragraph 16~~

<u>AU-C</u> ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss fraud that has been identified, or information that indicates existence of a fraud. • Identify risks of material misstatement relevant to components where the exercise of professional skepticism may be particularly important. • Share information about risks of material misstatement of the financial information of a component that may apply more broadly to some, or all, of the other components. • Share information that may indicate non-compliance with national laws or regulations, for example, payments of bribes and improper transfer pricing practices. • Discuss events or conditions identified by group management, component management or the engagement team, that may cast significant doubt on the group’s ability to continue as a going concern. • Discuss related party relationships or transactions identified by group management or component management, and any other related parties of which the engagement team is aware.
<p><i>Considerations when Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>26. The group auditor shouldshall communicate to component auditors:</p> <p>(a) <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, matters</u> that are relevant to the component auditor’s design or performance of risk assessment procedures for purposes of the group audit;</p> <p>(b) In applying <u>AU-C section</u>ISA 550, <u>Related</u></p>	<p><i>Considerations when Component Auditors Are Involved</i> (Ref: Para. 26–26A)</p> <p>A72. The nature of related party relationships and transactions may, in some circumstances, give rise to higher risks of material misstatement of the financial statements than transactions with unrelated parties.⁶¹ In a group audit there may be a higher risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements, including due to fraud, associated with related party relationships when:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group structure is complex; • The group’s information systems are not integrated and therefore less effective in identifying and recording related party relationships and transactions; and

⁶¹ Paragraph 32 of AU-C section~~ISA~~ 550, paragraph 2

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p><u>Parties</u>,⁵⁹ related party relationships or transactions identified by group management, and any other related parties of which the group auditor is aware, that are relevant to the work of the component auditor <u>[Extant AU-C 600.41(c)]</u>; and (Ref: Para. A72)</p> <p>(c) <u>When the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, In applying <u>AU-C section ISA 570 (Revised)</u>,⁶⁰ events or conditions identified by group management or the group auditor, that may cast significant doubt on the group’s ability to continue as a going concern that are relevant to the work of the component auditor <u>[Extant AU-C 600.41]</u>.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are numerous or frequent related party transactions between entities and business units. <p>Planning and performing the audit with professional skepticism as required by <u>ISA AU-C section 200</u>,⁶² is therefore particularly important when these circumstances exist.</p>
<p>26A. The group auditor should<u>shall</u> request component auditors to communicate: (Ref: Para. A72A)</p> <p>(a) Matters related to the financial information of the component that may be relevant to the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, whether due to fraud or error <u>[Extant AU-C 600.42]</u>;</p> <p>(b) Related party relationships not previously identified by group management or the group</p>	<p>A72A. The group auditor may communicate the matters in <u>paragraph 26A</u> to other component auditors, if these matters are relevant to the work of those component auditors. Matters communicated, including those in <u>paragraph 26A</u> may be communicated in the course of the component auditor’s work. For example, the matters included in <u>paragraph A111A</u>.</p>

⁵⁹ Paragraph 19 of AU-C section ISA 550, Related Parties, ~~paragraph 17~~

⁶⁰ AU-C section ISA 570 (Revised), The Auditor’s Consideration of an Entity’s Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

⁶² Paragraphs 17~~15~~ and A58~~A53~~ of AU-C section ISA 200, ~~paragraphs 15 and A53~~

_AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>auditor [Extant AU-C 600.41(c)]; and</p> <p>(c) Any events or conditions identified by the component auditor that may cast significant doubt on the group’s ability to continue as a going concern.</p>	
Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement	
<p>26B. In applying SAS No. 145section ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁶³ based on the understanding obtained in paragraph 24, the group auditor shouldshall take responsibility for the identification and the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, including with respect to the consolidation process. (Ref: Para. A72B–A72G)</p>	<p>Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (Ref: Para. 26B)</p> <p>A72B. The process to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements is cumulative and iterative and may be challenging, particularly when the component’s activities are complex or specialized, or when there are many components across multiple locations. In applying SAS No. 145ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁶⁴ the auditor develops initial expectations about the potential risks of material misstatement and an initial identification of the significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures of the group financial statements based on their understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the group’s system of internal control.</p> <p>A72C. The initial expectations about the potential risks of material misstatement take into account the auditor’s understanding of the group, including its entities or business units, and the environments and industries in which they operate. Based on the initial expectations, <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u> the group auditor may, and often will, involve component auditors in risk assessment procedures as they have direct knowledge and experience with the entities or business units that may be helpful in understanding the activities and related risks, and where risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements may arise in relation to those entities or business units.</p>

⁶³ [Paragraphs 32-38 of SAS No. 145](#)~~ISA 315 (Revised 2019)~~, [paragraphs 28–34](#)

⁶⁴ [Paragraphs 25–30](#)~~26~~ of SAS No. 145~~ISA 315 (Revised 2019)~~, [paragraphs 25–26](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A72D. Identifying risks of material misstatement, including those communicated by component auditors, provides the basis for the group auditor’s determination of relevant assertions, which assists the group auditor’s determination of the significant classes of transactions, account balances and disclosures of the group financial statements. The group auditor takes responsibility for assessing the inherent risk of the identified risks of material misstatements by assessing the likelihood and magnitude of misstatement, taking into account the inherent risk factors.⁶⁵ The group auditor’s process (or the process of component auditors to whom risk assessment procedures are assigned) of assessing the identified risks of material misstatement at the assertion level also includes the determination of significant risks.</p> <p>A72E. Based on the risk assessment procedures performed, the group auditor may determine that an assessed risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements only arises in relation to financial information of certain components. For example, the risk of material misstatement relating to a legal claim may only exist in entities or business units that operate in a certain jurisdiction or in entities or business units that have similar operations or activities.</p> <p>A72F. Appendix 4 sets out examples of events and conditions that, individually or together, may indicate risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, including with respect to the consolidation process.</p> <p><i>Fraud</i></p> <p>A72G. In applying AU-C section ISA-240,⁶⁶ the auditor is required to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud, and to design and implement appropriate responses to the assessed risks. Information used to identify the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements due to fraud may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group management’s assessment of the risks that the group financial

⁶⁵ [Paragraph 3531 of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\), paragraph 31](#)

⁶⁶ [Paragraphs 25, 28, 3026, 29, 31 of AU-C section ISA 240, paragraphs 26, 29, 31](#)

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>statements are materially misstated as a result of fraud.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Group management’s process for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the group, including any specific fraud risks identified by group management, or classes of transactions, account balances, or for which a risk of fraud is higher. • Whether there are particular components for which the risks of material misstatement due to fraud is higher. • Whether any fraud risk factors or indicators of management bias exist in the consolidation process. • How those charged with governance of the group monitor group management’s processes for identifying and responding to the risks of fraud in the group, and the controls group management has established to mitigate these risks. <p>Responses of those charged with governance of the group, group management, appropriate individuals within the internal audit function (and if considered appropriate, component management, the component auditors, and others) to the group auditor’s inquiry whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected, or alleged fraud affecting a component or the group.</p>

<u>AU-C ISA 600 Requirement</u>	Application Material
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>26C. In applying <u>SAS No. 145</u>section ISA 315 (Revised 2019),⁶⁷ the group auditor should<u>shall</u> evaluate whether the audit evidence obtained from the risk assessment procedures performed by the group auditor and component auditors, <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, provides an appropriate basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A72H–A72I)</p>	<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i> (Ref: Para. 26C)</p> <p>A72H. When the group auditor involves component auditors in the design and performance of risk assessment procedures, the group auditor remains responsible for having an understanding of the group and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework and the group’s system of internal control to have a sufficient basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements in accordance with <u>paragraph 26B</u>.</p> <p>A72I. When the audit evidence obtained from the <u>component auditor’s</u> risk assessment procedures does not provide an appropriate basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement, <u>SAS No. 145</u>ISA 315 (Revised 2019)⁶⁸ requires the group auditor to perform additional risk assessment procedures until audit evidence has been obtained to provide such a basis.</p>
<p><u>Determining Whether to Make Reference to a Component Auditor in the Auditor’s Report on the Group Financial Statements</u></p>	
<p>X1. Having <u>determined the competence and capabilities gained an understanding</u> of each component auditor as required by Paragraph 21(a), <u>the group engagement partner should decide whether to make reference to a component auditor in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements.</u> [Extant AU-C 600.24] (Ref: par. A52)</p> <p>X2. Reference to the audit of a component auditor in the <u>auditor’s report on the group financial statements should not be made unless</u></p>	<p><u>Determining Whether to Make Reference to a Component Auditor in the Auditor’s Report on the Group Financial Statements</u> (Ref: Para. 27–30)</p> <p><u>A73. In group audits involving two or more component auditors, the decision to make reference to the audit of a component auditor is made individually for each component auditor, regardless of the decision whether to refer to any other component auditor. The group auditor may make reference to any, all, or none of the component auditors. For example, if components are audited by a component auditor from a network firm and one component is audited by another firm, the group engagement partner may decide to assume responsibility for the work of the component auditor from the network firm and to make reference to the work of the component auditor from the other firm.</u> [Extant AU-C 600.A52]</p>

⁶⁷ Paragraphs 39 of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 35

⁶⁸ Paragraph 39 of SAS No. 145 ISA 315 (Revised 2019), paragraph 35

_AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(a) <u>the group engagement partner has determined that the component auditor has performed an audit of the financial statements of the component in accordance with the relevant requirements of GAAS (Ref: par. A53) and</u></p> <p>(b) <u>the component auditor has issued an auditor's report that is not restricted as to use.²³[Extant AU-C 600.25]</u></p> <p>X3. <u>If the component's financial statements are prepared using a different financial reporting framework from that used for the group financial statements, reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor's report on the group financial statements should not be made unless</u></p> <p>(a) <u>the measurement, recognition, presentation, and disclosure criteria that are applicable to all material items in the component's financial statements under the financial reporting framework used by the component are similar to the criteria that are applicable to all material items in the group's financial statements under the financial reporting framework used by the group, and</u></p> <p>(b) <u>the group auditor has obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence for purposes of evaluating the appropriateness of the adjustments to convert the component's financial statements to the financial reporting framework used by the group without the need to assume responsibility for the work of the component auditor. [Extant AU-C 600.26] (Ref: par. A54–A57)</u></p>	<p>AXX. <u>Factors that may affect the group engagement partner's decisions whether to make reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor's report on the group financial statements include the following:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Differences in the financial reporting framework applied in preparing the financial statements of the component and that applied in preparing the group financial statements</u> • <u>Whether the audit of the financial statements of the component will be completed in time to meet the group reporting timetable</u> • <u>Differences in the auditing and other standards applied by the component auditor and those applied in the audit of the group financial statements</u> • <u>Whether it is impracticable for the group auditor to be involved in the work of a component auditor. [Extant AU-C 600.A40]</u> <p><u>Determining Whether the Audit Was Conducted in Accordance With GAAS (Ref: Para. 28(a))</u></p> <p>A74. <u>A component auditor's report stating that the audit was conducted in accordance with GAAS or, if applicable, the auditing standards promulgated by the PCAOB is sufficient to make the determination required by paragraph 28(a). When the component auditor has performed an audit of the component financial statements in accordance with auditing standards other than GAAS or, if applicable, the auditing standards promulgated by the PCAOB, the group engagement partner may evaluate, exercising professional judgment, whether the audit performed by the component auditor meets the relevant requirements of GAAS. For the purposes of complying with paragraph 28(a), relevant requirements of GAAS are those that pertain to planning and performing the audit of the component financial statements and do not include those related to the form of the auditor's report. Audits performed in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) promulgated by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board (IAASB) are more likely to meet the relevant requirements of GAAS than audits performed in accordance with auditing standards promulgated by bodies other than the IAASB. The group auditor may provide the component auditor with AU-C Appendix B, Substantive Differences Between the International Standards on Auditing and Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, that identifies substantive requirements of GAAS that are not requirements in the ISAs. The component auditor may perform additional procedures in order to meet the relevant requirements of GAAS. The communication requested of the component auditor required by paragraph 44 may address whether</u></p>

_AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>X4. When the group engagement partner decides to make reference in the auditor's report on the group financial statements to the audit of a component auditor, the group auditor should obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence with regard to such components by performing the following procedures:</p> <p>(a) The procedures required by this AU-C section, except for those that are required only when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</p> <p>(b) Reading the component's financial statements and the component auditor's report thereon to identify significant findings and issues and, when considered necessary, communicating with the component auditor in this regard. [Extant AU-C 600.27]</p>	<p><u>the audit of the component auditor met the relevant requirements of GAAS. The group engagement partner, having determined that all relevant requirements of GAAS have been met by the component auditor, may decide to make reference to the audit of that component auditor in the auditor's report on the group financial statements.</u></p> <p><u><i>Determining Whether to Make Reference When the Financial Reporting Framework Is Not the Same</i> (Ref. Para. 29)</u></p> <p>A75. <u>When the component's financial statements are prepared using a financial reporting framework that differs from the financial reporting framework used to prepare the group financial statements, the group auditor is required by paragraph 35 to evaluate whether the financial information of the component has been appropriately adjusted for purposes of the preparation and fair presentation of the group financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework. Evaluating whether the financial statements of the component have been appropriately adjusted to conform with the financial reporting framework used by the group is based on a depth of understanding of the component's financial statements that ordinarily is not obtained unless the group auditor assumes responsibility for, and, thus, directs and supervises the component auditor and reviews their work. In rare circumstances, however, the group engagement partner may conclude that the group auditor can reasonably expect to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence for purposes of evaluating the appropriateness of the adjustments to convert the component's financial statements to the financial reporting framework used by the group without the need to assume responsibility for, and, thus, direct and supervise the component auditor and review their work.</u></p> <p>A78. <u>The greater the number of differences or the greater the significance of the differences between the criteria used for measurement, recognition, presentation, and disclosure of all material items in the component's financial statements under the financial reporting framework used by the component and the financial reporting framework used by the group, the less similar they are. Financial statements prepared and presented in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) and International Financial Reporting Standard for Small and Medium-sized Entities, as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, are generally viewed as</u></p>

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>more similar to financial statements prepared and presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) than financial statements prepared and presented in accordance with jurisdiction-specific reporting frameworks or adaptations of IFRSs. In most cases, special purpose frameworks set forth in AU-C section 800, <i>Special Considerations—Audits of Financial Statements Prepared in Accordance With Special Purpose Frameworks</i>, are not similar to GAAP.</u></p> <p><u>A79. Additional considerations in determining whether it may be appropriate to make reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements when the component prepares financial statements using a different financial reporting framework than that used by the group include the</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>• effectiveness of groupwide controls and the adequacy of the consolidation process specifically related to the adjustments to convert the component’s financial statements to the financial reporting framework used by the group, including the financial reporting competencies of personnel involved in the adjustments.</u> <u>• depth of the group auditor’s understanding of the component and its environment, including the complexity of the events and transactions subject to the differing financial reporting requirements and the assessed risk of material misstatement related to the adjustments.</u> <u>• extent of the group auditor’s knowledge of the financial reporting framework used to prepare the component financial statements.</u> <u>• group auditor’s ability to obtain information from group or component management that is relevant to the adjustments.</u> <u>• need and ability to seek, as necessary, the assistance of professionals possessing specialized skills or knowledge related to the adjustments.</u> <p><u><i>Considerations for Governmental Entities</i></u></p> <p><u>A80. When the applicable financial reporting framework used by the group provides for the inclusion of component financial statements that are prepared in accordance with a different financial reporting framework, the component financial statements are deemed to be in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework used for the group financial statements. For example, both the financial reporting framework established by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and the financial</u></p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>reporting framework established by the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board have such provisions. Accordingly, when the provisions established by the applicable financial reporting framework for inclusion of those component financial statements have been followed, the requirements in paragraphs 29 and 31(c) are not relevant.</u></p>
Making Reference in the Auditor's Report	
<p><u>X5. When the group engagement partner decides to make reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor's report on the group financial statements, the report on the group financial statements should clearly indicate</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(a) that the component was not audited by the group auditor but was audited by the component auditor.</u> <u>(b) the magnitude of the portion of the financial statements audited by the component auditor.</u> <u>(c) when the component's financial statements are prepared using a different financial reporting framework from that used for the group financial statements</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(i.) the financial reporting framework used by the component and</u> <u>(ii.) that the group auditor is taking responsibility for evaluating the appropriateness of the adjustments to convert the component's financial statements to the financial reporting framework used by the group.</u> <u>(d) when</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(i.) the component auditor's report on the component's financial</u> 	<p>Making Reference in the Auditor's Report (Ref: Para. 31–34)</p> <p><u>A81. The disclosure of the magnitude of the portion of the financial statements audited by a component auditor may be achieved by stating the dollar amounts or percentages of one or more of the following: total assets, total revenues, or other appropriate criteria, whichever most clearly describes the portion of the financial statements audited by a component auditor. When two or more component auditors participate in the audit, the dollar amounts or the percentages covered by the component auditors may be stated in the aggregate.</u></p> <p><u>A82. Reference in the auditor's report on the group financial statements to the fact that part of the audit was conducted by a component auditor is not to be construed as a qualification of the opinion, but rather is intended to communicate (1) that the group auditor is not assuming responsibility for the work of the component auditor, and (2) the source of the audit evidence with respect to those components for which reference to the audit of component auditors is made.</u></p> <p><u>A83. Exhibit-Appendix 2 contains examples of appropriate reporting in the auditor's report on the group financial statements when reference is made to the audit of a component auditor.</u></p> <p><u>A84. If the modified opinion, emphasis-of-matter paragraph, or other-matter paragraph in the component auditor's report does not affect the report on the group financial statements and the component auditor's report is not presented, the group auditor need not make reference to those paragraphs in the auditor's report on the group financial statements. If the component auditor's report is presented, the group auditor may make reference to those paragraphs and their disposition.</u></p>

<u>AU-CISA 600 Requirement</u>	Application Material
<p>statements does not state that the <u>audit of the component’s financial statements was performed in accordance with GAAS or the standards promulgated by the PCAOB, and</u></p> <p>(ii.) <u>the group engagement partner has determined that the component auditor performed additional audit procedures in order to meet the relevant requirements of GAAS</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">a. <u>the set of auditing standards used by the component auditor and</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">b. <u>that additional audit procedures were performed by the component auditor to meet the relevant requirements of GAAS. [Extant AU-C 600.28] (Ref: par. A58–A60)</u></p> <p>X6. <u>If the group engagement partner decides to name a component auditor in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) <u>the component auditor’s express permission should be obtained.</u></p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) <u>the component auditor’s report should be presented together with that of the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.29]</u></p> <p>X7. <u>If the opinion of a component auditor is modified or that report includes an emphasis-of-matter or other-matter paragraph, the group auditor should determine the effect that this may have on the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. When deemed appropriate, the group auditor should modify the opinion on the group financial statements or</u></p>	<p>A85. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, no reference is made to the component auditor in the report on the group audit because to do so may cause a reader to misinterpret the degree of responsibility being assumed.</u></p>

_AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p><u>include an emphasis-of-matter paragraph or an other-matter paragraph in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.30] (Ref. par. A61)</u></p> <p><u>X8. If the group engagement partner decides to assume responsibility for work of a component auditor, no reference should be made to the component auditor in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.31] (Ref. par. A62)</u></p>	
Materiality	
<p>29. In applying <u>AU-C sectionISA 320, <i>Materiality in Planning and Performing an Audit</i></u>⁶⁹ and <u>AU-C sectionISA 450, <i>Evaluation of Misstatements Identified During the Audit</i></u>,⁷⁰ when classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the group financial statements are disaggregated across components, for purposes of planning and performing audit procedures, <u>for those components on which the group auditor will perform, or for which the group auditor will assume the responsibility for the work of a component auditor who performs, an audit [Extant AU-C 600.32(c)],</u> the group auditor shouldshall determine:</p> <p>(a) Component performance materiality. To address aggregation risk, such amount shouldshall be lower than group performance materiality. (Ref. Para. A73–A76)</p> <p>(b) The threshold above which misstatements</p>	<p>Materiality</p> <p><i>Component Performance Materiality</i> (Ref. Para. 29(a))</p> <p>A73. <u>Paragraph 29(a)</u> requires the group auditor to determine component performance materiality for each of the components where <u>the group auditor will perform, or for which the group auditor will assume the responsibility for the work of a component auditor who performs,</u> audit procedures are performed on financial information that is disaggregated. The component performance materiality amount may be different for each component. Also, the component performance materiality amount for an individual component need not be an arithmetical portion of the group performance materiality and, consequently, the aggregate of component performance materiality amounts may exceed group performance materiality.</p> <p>A74. This <u>proposed SAS ISA</u> does not require component performance materiality to be determined for each class of transactions, account balance or disclosure for components at which audit procedures are performed. However, if, in the specific circumstances of the group, there is one or more particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures for which misstatements of lesser amounts than</p>

⁶⁹ Paragraph 11 of AU-C sectionISA 320, paragraph 11

⁷⁰ Paragraph 5 of AU-C sectionISA 450, Evaluation of Misstatements Identified During the Audit, paragraph 5

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>identified in the component financial information are to be communicated to the group auditor. Such threshold shouldshall not exceed the amount regarded as clearly trivial to the group financial statements. (Ref: Para. A77)</p>	<p>materiality for the group financial statements as a whole could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements, <u>AU-C section ISA 320</u> requires the auditor to determine the materiality level or levels to be applied to those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures.⁷¹ In these circumstances, <u>and when the group auditor will perform, or for which the group auditor will assume responsibility for the work of a component auditor, audit procedures on component financial information,</u> the group auditor may need to consider whether a component performance materiality lower than the amount communicated to the component auditor may be appropriate for those particular classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures.⁷²</p> <p>A75. The determination of component performance materiality is not a simple mechanical calculation and involves the exercise of professional judgment. Factors the group auditor may take into account in setting component performance materiality include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The extent of disaggregation of the financial information across components (e.g., as the extent of disaggregation across components increases, a lower component performance materiality ordinarily would be appropriate to address aggregation risk). The relative significance of the component to the group may affect the extent of disaggregation (e.g., if a single component represents a large portion of the group, there likely may be less disaggregation across components). • Expectations about the nature, frequency, and magnitude of misstatements in the component financial information, for example: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Whether there are risks that are unique to the financial information of the component (e.g., industry-specific accounting matters, unusual or complex transactions).

⁷¹ Paragraphs 10 and ~~A13–A14~~~~A11–A12~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 320~~, paragraphs 10 and ~~A11–A12~~

⁷² Paragraph ~~A15~~~~A13~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 320~~, paragraph ~~A13~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The nature and extent of misstatements identified at the component in prior audits. <p>A75A. To address aggregation risk, paragraph 29(a) requires component performance materiality to be lower than group performance materiality. As explained in paragraph A75, as the extent of disaggregation across components increases, a lower component performance materiality amount ordinarily would be appropriate to address aggregation risk. In some circumstances, however, component performance materiality may be set at an amount closer to group performance materiality because there is less aggregation risk, such as when the financial information for one component represents a substantial portion of the group financial statements.</p> <p>A76. In some cases, further audit procedures may be performed by the group auditor or a component auditor on a significant class of transactions or significant account balance as a single population (i.e., not disaggregated across components). In such cases, group performance materiality often will be used for purposes of performing these procedures.</p> <p><u>AXX. When the component is subject to an audit required by law or regulation or performed for another reason, the performance materiality used by the component auditor for purposes of such audit ordinarily can be expected to be less than the group performance materiality and, accordingly, be acceptable for purposes of the group audit. In the case of an equity method investment, the investee may be larger than the investor, and the auditor’s evidence to support the investor’s share of earnings from the investment may consist largely of the audited financial statements of the investee. In such cases, the performance materiality used by the investee’s auditor may be larger than the performance materiality used by the investor’s auditor. When such circumstances exist, the group auditor may take into consideration matters such as the group’s ownership percentage and its share of the investee’s profits and losses when determining whether the component performance materiality used by the</u></p>

_AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p><u>investee’s auditor is appropriate for purposes of the audit of the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.A66]</u></p> <p><i>‘Clearly Trivial’ Threshold (Ref: Para: 29(b))</i></p> <p>A77. The threshold for communicating misstatements to the group auditor is set at an amount equal to, or lower than, the amount regarded as clearly trivial for the group financial statements. In accordance with <u>AU-C section ISA 450</u>,⁷³ this threshold is the amount below which misstatements would not need to be accumulated because the group auditor expects that the accumulation of such amounts clearly would not have a material effect on the group financial statements.</p>
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>30. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor [Extant AU-C 600.32(c)]</u>, the group auditor shouldshall communicate to the component auditor the amounts determined in accordance with <u>paragraph 29. (Ref: Para: A77A–A77B)</u></p>	<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>Communicating Component Performance Materiality (Ref. Para. 30)</p> <p>A77A. In some cases, it may be appropriate for the group auditor to involve the component auditor in determining an appropriate component performance materiality amount, in view of the component auditor’s in-depth knowledge of the component and potential sources of misstatement of the component financial information. In this regard, <u>and when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, the group auditor also may consider communicating group performance materiality to the component auditor to support collaboration in determining whether component performance materiality, in relation to group performance materiality, is appropriate in the circumstances.</p> <p>A77B. Component performance materiality is based, at least in part, on expectations about the nature, frequency, and magnitude of misstatements in the component financial information. Therefore, ongoing communication between the component auditor and the group auditor <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u> is important, particularly if the number and magnitude of</p>

⁷³ Paragraph A3 of AU-C section ISA 450, paragraph A3

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	misstatements identified by the component auditor are higher than expected.
Responding to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement	
<p>33. In applying <u>AU-C section ISA 330</u>,⁷⁴ the group auditor should shall take responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures to be performed, including determining the components at which to perform further audit procedures; the nature, timing and extent of the work to be performed at those components; and when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures to be performed. (Ref: Para. A86–A93A)</p>	<p>Responding to the Assessed Risks of Material Misstatement (Ref: Para. 33)</p> <p><i>Performing Further Audit Procedures</i></p> <p>Performing Further Audit Procedures Centrally</p> <p>A86. The group auditor may design and perform further audit procedures centrally if the audit evidence to be obtained from performing further audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the aggregate will respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement. For example, if the accounting records for the revenue transactions of the entire group are maintained centrally (e.g., at a shared service center), the group auditor may perform further audit procedures to address the assessed risks of material misstatement of the related classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures. Factors that may be relevant to the auditor’s determination of whether to perform further audit procedures centrally include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The level of centralization of activities relevant to financial reporting. • The nature and extent of commonality of controls. • The similarity of the group’s activities and business lines. <p>A88. The group auditor may determine that the financial information of several components can be considered as one population for the purpose of performing further audit procedures, for example, when transactions are considered to be homogenous because they share the same characteristics, the related risks of material misstatement are the same, and controls are designed and operating in a consistent way.</p> <p>A88A. When further audit procedures are performed centrally, component auditors may still</p>

⁷⁴ Paragraph 6-7 of AU-C section ISA 330, paragraphs 6–7

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>be involved. For example, when the group has multiple shared-service centers, the group auditor may involve component auditors in the performance of further audit procedures for these shared service centers.</p> <p>Performing Further Audit Procedures at the Component Level</p> <p>A88B. In other circumstances, procedures to respond to the risks of material misstatement at the group financial statement level that are related to the financial information of a component may be more effectively performed at the component level. This may be the case when the group has:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different revenue streams; • Multiple lines of business; • Operations across multiple locations; or • Decentralized systems of internal control. <p>Large Number of Components Whose Financial Information Is Material in the Aggregate to the Group Financial Statements</p> <p>A89. A group may be comprised of a large number of components whose financial information is individually immaterial but material in the aggregate to the group financial statements. These circumstances may present additional challenges for the group auditor in planning and performing further audit procedures for significant classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures in the group financial statements.</p> <p>A89AA. In some cases, it may be possible to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence by performing audit procedures centrally on these classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures (e.g., if they are homogeneous, subject to common controls and access to appropriate information can be obtained). The further audit procedures may include substantive analytical procedures in accordance with AU-C section ISA.</p>

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>520, <i>Analytical Procedures</i>.⁷⁵ Depending on the circumstances of the engagement, the financial information of the components may be aggregated at appropriate levels for purposes of developing expectations and determining the amount of any difference of recorded amounts from expected values in performing the substantive analytical procedures. The use of automated tools and techniques may be helpful in these circumstances. [Moved from paragraph A90]</p> <p>A89A. In other cases, it may be necessary to perform audit procedures at selected components to address the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. The determination of the components at which audit procedures are to be performed, and the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures to be performed at the selected components, are matters of professional judgment. In these circumstances, introducing an element of unpredictability in the components selected for testing also may be helpful in relation to the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements due to fraud (also see paragraph A91).</p> <p>A90. [Moved to paragraph A89AA]</p> <p><i>The Nature and Extent of Further Audit Procedures</i></p> <p>A90A. In determining the nature and extent of further audit procedures to be performed at a component, the group auditor may determine the following scope of work to be appropriate at a component (with the involvement of component auditors, as applicable):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design and perform further audit procedures on the entire financial information of the component; • Design and perform further audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures; or • Perform specific further audit procedures. <p>A90B. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u></p>

⁷⁵ AU-C sectionISA 520, *Analytical Procedures*

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>Although the group auditor takes responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures to be performed, component auditors can be, and often are, involved in all phases of the group audit, including in the design and performance of further audit procedures.</p> <p>Design and Perform Further Audit Procedures on the Entire Financial Information of the Component</p> <p>A90C. The group auditor may determine that designing and performing further audit procedures on the entire financial information of a component is an appropriate approach, including when:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Audit evidence needs to be obtained on all or a significant proportion of a component’s financial information to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. • There is a pervasive risk of material misstatement of the group financial statements due to the existence of events or conditions at the component that may be relevant to the group auditor’s evaluation of group management’s assessment of the group’s ability to continue as a going concern. <p>Design and perform further audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures</p> <p>A90D. The group auditor may determine that designing and performing further audit procedures on one or more particular classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures of the financial information of a component is an appropriate approach to address an assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. For example, a component may have limited operations but holds a significant portion of the land and buildings of the group or has significant tax balances.</p> <p>Perform specific further audit procedures</p> <p>A90E. The group auditor may determine that designing and performing specific further audit procedures on the financial information of a component is an appropriate approach, such as when audit evidence needs to be obtained for specific assertions only. For</p>

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>example, the group auditor may centrally test the class of transaction, account balance or disclosure and may require the component auditor to perform specific further audit procedures at the component (e.g., specific further audit procedures related to the valuation of claims or litigations in the component’s jurisdiction or the existence of an asset).</p> <p><i>Element of Unpredictability</i></p> <p>A91. Including an element of unpredictability in the type of work to be performed, the entities or business units at which procedures are performed and the extent to which the group auditor is involved in the work, may increase the likelihood of identifying a material misstatement of the components’ financial information that may give rise to a material misstatement of the group financial statements due to fraud.⁷⁶</p> <p><i>Operating Effectiveness of Controls that Are Common Across the Group</i></p> <p>A92. If the group auditor intends to rely on the operating effectiveness of controls that operate throughout the group in determining the nature, timing and extent of substantive procedures to be performed at either the group level or at the components, the group auditor, in accordance with <u>AU-C section ISA-330</u>,⁷⁷ is required to design and perform tests of controls to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence as to the operating effectiveness of those controls. This includes obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence that the controls are operating at the components as designed. The group auditor may request the component auditor to assist the group auditor in performing these procedures.</p> <p>A93. If deviations from controls upon which the auditor intends to rely are detected, <u>AU-C section ISA-AU-C section-330</u>⁷⁸ requires the auditor to make specific inquiries to understand these matters and their potential consequences. If more deviations than</p>

⁷⁶ Paragraph ~~29c30(e)~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 240, paragraph 30(e)~~

⁷⁷ Paragraph ~~8~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 330, paragraph 8~~

⁷⁸ Paragraph ~~17~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 330, paragraph 17~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>expected are detected as a result of testing the operating effectiveness of the controls, the group auditor may need to revise the group audit plan. Possible revisions to the group audit plan may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requesting<u>Performing</u> additional substantive procedures to be performed at certain components. • Identifying and testing the operating effectiveness of other relevant controls that are designed and implemented effectively. • Increasing the number of components selected for further audit procedures. <p>A93A. When the operating effectiveness of controls is tested centrally (e.g., controls at a shared service center or testing of common controls), the group auditor may need to communicate information about the audit work performed to the component auditors. For example, <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor and when</u> a component auditor is requested to design and perform substantive audit procedures on the entire financial information of the component, or design and perform substantive audit procedures on one or more classes of transactions, account balances or disclosures, the component auditor may communicate with the group auditor about the control testing performed to determine the nature, timing and extent of the substantive procedures.</p>
<p><i>Consolidation Process</i></p> <p>34. The group auditor should<u>shall</u> take responsibility for designing and performing further audit procedures to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements arising from the consolidation process. This should<u>shall</u> include:</p> <p>(a) Evaluating whether all entities and business units have been included in the group financial statements as required by the applicable financial reporting framework and, if applicable, for</p>	<p><i>Consolidation Process</i></p> <p>Consolidation Procedures (Ref: Para. 34(a))</p> <p>A94. The further audit procedures on the consolidation, including sub-consolidations, may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determining that the journal entries necessary are reflected in the consolidation; and • Evaluating the operating effectiveness of the controls over the consolidation process and responding appropriately if any controls are determined to be ineffective.

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>designing and performing further audit procedures on sub-consolidations; (Ref: Para. A94)</p> <p>(b) Responding to the assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud or indicators of possible management bias that exist in the consolidation process; and</p> <p>(c) Evaluating the appropriateness, completeness and accuracy of consolidation adjustments and reclassifications. (Ref: Para. A95)</p>	<p>Consolidation Adjustments and Reclassifications (Ref: Para. 34(c))</p> <p>A95. The consolidation process may require adjustments and reclassifications to amounts reported in the group financial statements that do not pass through the usual IT applications, and may not be subject to the same controls to which other financial information is subject. The group auditor’s evaluation of the appropriateness, completeness and accuracy of the adjustments and reclassifications may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluating whether significant adjustments appropriately reflect the events and transactions underlying them; • Determining whether those entities or business units whose financial information has been included in the group financial statements were appropriately included; • Determining whether significant adjustments have been correctly calculated, processed and authorized by group management and, where applicable, by component management; • Determining whether significant adjustments are properly supported and sufficiently documented; and <p>Evaluating the reconciliation and elimination of intra-group transactions, unrealized profits <u>or losses</u>, and intra-group account balances. <u>[Extant AU-C 600.A69]</u></p>
<p>35. If the financial information of an entity or business unit has not been prepared in accordance with the same accounting policies applied to the group financial statements, the group auditor should<u>shall</u> evaluate whether the financial information has been appropriately adjusted for purposes of <u>the preparation and fair presentation of</u> preparing and presenting the group financial statements <u>in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework</u>.</p>	

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>36. If the group financial statements include the financial information of an entity or business unit with a financial reporting period-end that differs from that of the group, the group auditor shouldshall take responsibility for evaluating whether appropriate adjustments have been made to that financial information in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.</p>	
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>37. When <u>the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor and</u> the group auditor involves component auditors in the design or performance of further audit procedures, the group auditor shouldshall communicate with component auditors matters that are relevant to the design of responses to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements.</p>	<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i> (Ref: Para. 38–39)</p>
<p>38. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> For areas of higher assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements, or significant risks identified in accordance with SAS No. 145sectionISA 315 (Revised 2019), on which a component auditor is determining the further audit procedures to be performed, the group auditor shouldshall evaluate the appropriateness of the design and performance of those further audit procedures. (Ref: Para. A95A)</p>	<p>A95A. When <u>specialists</u> experts are involved in performing further audit procedures, ISA AU-C section 620⁷⁹ requires the auditor to evaluate the adequacy of the auditor's <u>specialist's expert's</u> work for the auditor's purposes. In a group audit these evaluations include the work of auditor's <u>specialists</u> experts engaged by component auditors.</p>

⁷⁹ Paragraph ~~12~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 620,~~ paragraph ~~12~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>39. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> in accordance with <u>paragraph 23</u>, the group auditor should<u>shall</u> determine the nature and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work when component auditors perform further audit procedures on the consolidation process, including on sub-consolidations. (Ref: Para. A102)</p>	<p>A102. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> the appropriate level of the group auditor’s involvement may depend on the circumstances and the structure of the group and other factors, such as the group auditor’s previous experience with the component auditors that perform procedures on the consolidation and sub-consolidations (also see <u>paragraphs A42 and A51</u>) and the circumstances of the group audit engagement (e.g., if the financial information of an entity or business unit has not been prepared in accordance with the same accounting policies applied to the group financial statements).</p>
<p>40. The group auditor should<u>shall</u> determine whether the financial information identified in the component auditor’s communication (see <u>paragraph 44(a)(i)</u>) is the financial information that is incorporated in the group financial statements.</p>	
Communication about Matters Relevant to the Group Auditor’s Conclusion	
<p>44. The group auditor shall<u>should</u> request the component auditor to communicate matters relevant to the group auditor’s conclusion with regard to the group audit.</p> <p><u>(a) Regardless of whether reference will be made in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements to the audit of a component auditor,</u> such communication shall<u>should</u> include: (Ref: Para. A111A)</p> <p>(<u>ai</u>) Identification of the financial information on which the component auditor <u>is reporting</u> [<u>Extant AU-C 600.42(b)</u>]has been requested to perform audit procedures;</p> <p>(<u>iaa</u>) Whether the component auditor has performed the work requested by the group auditor <u>as described by this proposed SAS;</u></p>	<p>Communication about Matters Relevant to the Group Auditor’s Conclusion <i>Communication about Matters Relevant to the Group Auditor’s Conclusion with Regard to the Group Audit</i> (Ref: Para. 44)</p> <p>A111A. Although the matters required to be communicated in accordance with <u>paragraph 44</u> are relevant to the group auditor’s conclusion with regard to the group audit, certain matters may need to be communicated timely in the course of the component auditor’s procedures. In addition to the matters in <u>paragraphs 26A and 48</u>, such matters may include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information on breaches of relevant ethical requirements, including identified breaches of independence provisions; • Information on instances of non-compliance with laws or regulations; • Newly arising significant risks of material misstatement, including risks of fraud; • Identified or suspected fraud or illegal acts involving component management

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(iiiab) Whether the component auditor has complied with the ethical requirements that are relevant to the group audit, including those related to independence [Extant AU-C 600.42(a)]; and</p> <p>(ivii) <u>The component auditor's overall findings or conclusions.</u> (Ref: Para. A112A)</p> <p><u>(b) In addition to the communication required in accordance with paragraph 44(a), when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, such communication shall</u>should also include:</p> <p>(iib) Information on instances of non-compliance with laws or regulations;</p> <p>(iiie) Corrected and uncorrected misstatements of the component financial information identified by the component auditor and that are above the threshold communicated by the group auditor in accordance with paragraph 30; (Ref: Para. A111B)</p> <p>(iiiiv) Indicators of possible management bias;</p> <p>(ive) Description of any deficiencies in the system of internal control identified in connection with the audit procedures performed;</p> <p>(vif) Fraud or suspected fraud involving component management, employees <u>at entities or business units</u> who have significant roles in the group's system of internal control at the component or others <u>at entities or business units</u> where the fraud resulted in a material misstatement of the financial information of the component;</p>	<p>or employees that could have a material effect on the group financial statements; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Significant and unusual transactions. <p><i>Communication of Misstatements of Component Financial Information</i> (Ref: Para. 44(be)(ii))</p> <p>A111B. Knowledge about corrected and uncorrected misstatements across components may alert the group auditor to potential pervasive internal control deficiencies, when considered along with the communication of deficiencies in accordance with paragraph 44(be)(iv). In addition, a higher than expected number of identified misstatements (uncorrected or corrected) may indicate a higher risk of undetected misstatements, which may lead the group auditor to conclude that additional audit procedures need to be performed at certain components.</p> <p><i>Component Auditor's Overall Findings or Conclusions</i> (Ref: Para. 44(a)(iv))</p> <p>A112A. The form and content of the deliverables from the component auditor are influenced by the nature and extent of the audit work the component auditor has been requested to performed and whether reference is made in the auditor's report on the group financial statements to the audit of a component auditor. The group auditor's firm policies or procedures may address the form or specific wording of an overall conclusion statement <u>or, if the group auditor is making reference to the audit of a component auditor in the auditor's report, opinion</u> from the component auditor on the audit work performed for purposes of the group audit.</p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>(vii^{fa}) Other significant matters that the component auditor communicated or expects to communicate to component management or those charged with governance of the component; <u>and</u></p> <p>(viii^g) Any other matters that may be relevant to the group audit, or that the component auditor determines are appropriate to draw to the attention of the group auditor, including exceptions noted in the written representations that the component auditor requested from component management; <u>and</u></p> <p>(h) The component auditor's overall findings or conclusions. (Ref: Para. A112A)</p>	
<p>45. The group auditor shall^{should}:</p> <p>(a) Discuss significant <u>findings and issues</u>matters arising from the communications with the component auditor, component management or group management, as appropriate; and</p> <p>(b) Evaluate whether the communications with component auditors are adequate for the group auditor's purposes <u>[Extant AU-C 600.43]</u>.</p>	
<p>45A. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> the group auditor shall^{should} determine whether, and the extent to which, it is necessary for the group auditor to review parts of the component auditor audit documentation. In making this determination, the group auditor shall^{should} consider: (Ref: Para. A112B-A113)</p>	<p><i>Reviewing the Component Auditor's Audit Documentation</i> (Ref: Para. 45A)</p> <p>A112B. The determination required by <u>paragraph 45A</u> <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u> relates to whether there is a need for the group auditor to review parts of the component auditor's audit documentation in order to conclude on the group audit, taking into account:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The review performed by the component auditor reviewer(s); and

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>(a) The nature, timing and extent of the work performed by the component auditor;</p> <p>(b) The determination of the competence and capabilities of the component auditor <u>[Extant AU-C 600.62]</u> in accordance with paragraph 21(a); and</p> <p>(c) The direction, supervision and review of the component auditor, including communications from the component auditor.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The review that already may have been done by the group auditor as part of the ongoing direction, supervision and review of the work of the component auditor, and communications received from the component auditor, in accordance with the requirements of this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u>. <p>A112Ba. Paragraph 23 requires the group engagement partner to take responsibility for the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>. However, as explained in paragraph A49, the group engagement partner may assign such responsibilities to other members of the engagement team.</p> <p>A112C. <u>Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)</u> requires the engagement partner to determine that the nature, timing and extent of direction, supervision and review is planned and performed in accordance with the firm’s policies or procedures, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements and is responsive to the nature and circumstances of the audit engagement and the resources assigned or made available to the engagement team.⁸⁰ <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, Paragraph A51 provides guidance for the group auditor in tailoring the nature, timing and extent of the direction and supervision of the component auditor, and the review of their work, to the facts and circumstances of the group audit.</p> <p>A113. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, Other other factors that may affect the group auditor’s determination about whether, and the extent to which it may be necessary to review parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation in the circumstances include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The degree to which the component auditor was involved in risk assessment procedures and in the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements;

⁸⁰ Paragraph 30 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 30

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The significant judgments made by, and the findings or conclusions of, the component auditor about matters that are material to the group financial statements; The competence and capabilities of the component auditor reviewer(s) based on previous experience with those individuals; and Whether the component auditor and group auditor are subject to common policies or procedures for review of audit documentation.
<p>46. If the group auditor determines that the component auditors' communications are not adequate for the group auditor's purposes, the group auditor shall <u>should</u> consider whether further information can be obtained from component auditors or other sources. If such information cannot be obtained from component auditors or through other sources, the group auditor shall <u>should</u> consider the implications for the group audit, in accordance with paragraph 51 <u>[Extant AU-C 600.63]</u>.</p>	
Subsequent Events	
<p>47. In applying <u>AU-C section</u> ISA 560 <u>Subsequent Events and Subsequently Discovered Facts</u>,⁸¹ the group auditor shall <u>should</u> take responsibility for performing procedures, including, as appropriate, requesting component auditors to perform procedures, designed to identify events that may require adjustment of, or disclosure in, the group financial statements <u>[Extant AU-C 600.59]</u>. (Ref: Para. A114)</p>	<p>Subsequent Events (Ref: Para. 47)</p> <p>A114. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> the the group auditor may:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request a component auditor to perform subsequent events procedures to assist the group auditor to identify events that occur between the dates of the financial information of the components and the date of the auditor's report on the group financial statements.

⁸¹ Paragraph 10 of AU-C section ~~ISA~~ 560, Subsequent Events and Subsequently Discovered Facts, ~~paragraph 7~~

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u> </u> Perform procedures to cover the period between the date of communication of subsequent events by the component auditor and the date of the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. <p><u>AXX. When the auditor’s report on the group financial statements will make reference to the auditor of a component auditor, procedures designed to identify subsequent events between the date of the component auditor’s report and the date of the auditor’s report on the group financial statements, the group auditor may include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>(a) Obtaining an understanding of any procedures that group management has established to ensure that such subsequent events are identified.</u> <u>(b) Requesting the component auditor to update subsequent events procedures to the date of the auditor’s report on the group financial statements.</u> <u>(c) Requesting written representation from component management regarding subsequent events.</u> <u>(d) Reading available interim financial information of the component and making inquiries of group management.</u> <u>(e) Reading minutes of meetings of the governing board, or any other administrative board with management oversight, held since the financial statement date.</u> <u>(f) Reading the subsequent year’s capital and operating budgets.</u> <u>(g) Inquiring of group management regarding currently known facts, decisions, or conditions that are expected to have a significant effect on financial position or results of operations for items that represent subsequent events.</u> <u>(e)(h) Considering the implications for the auditor’s report on the group financial statements if the group engagement team has been unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding subsequent events. [Extant AU-C 600.A70]</u>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
Considering When Component Auditors Are Involved	
<p>48. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> the group auditor shall<u>should</u> request the component auditors to notify the group auditor if they become aware of subsequent events that may require adjustment of, or disclosure in, the group financial statements <u>[Extant AU-C 600.59]</u>. (Ref: Para. A114)</p>	
Evaluating the Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Audit Evidence Obtained	
<p>49. In applying <u>AU-C section</u>ISA 330,⁸² the group auditor shall<u>should</u> evaluate whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained from the audit procedures performed, including from the work performed by component auditors, on which to base the group audit opinion <u>[Extant AU-C 600.44]</u>. (Ref: Para. A114A–A115C)</p>	<p>Evaluating the Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Audit Evidence Obtained <i>Sufficiency and Appropriateness of Audit Evidence</i> (Ref: Para. 49)</p> <p>A114A. The audit of group financial statements is a cumulative and iterative process. As the group auditor performs planned audit procedures, the audit evidence obtained may cause the group auditor to modify the nature, timing or extent of other planned audit procedures as information may come to the group auditor’s attention that differs significantly from the information on which the risk assessment was based. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>When the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor and the component auditor identifies misstatements and communicates such to the group auditor,</u> the misstatements identified at a component may need to be considered in relation to other components; or • The group auditor may become aware of access restrictions to information or people at a component because of changes in the environment (e.g., war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease). <p>In such circumstances, the group auditor may need to reevaluate the planned audit</p>

⁸² Paragraph 28 of AU-C section ~~ISA~~ 330, paragraph 26

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>procedures, based on the revised consideration of assessed risks for all or some of the classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures and related assertions.</p> <p>A115. The evaluation required by paragraph 49 assists the group auditor in determining whether the overall group audit strategy and group audit plan developed to respond to the assessed risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements continues to be appropriate. The requirement in <u>AU-C ISA-section 330</u>⁸³ for the auditor, irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, to design and perform substantive procedures for each material account balance, class of transactions and disclosure also may be helpful for purposes of this evaluation in the context of the group financial statements.</p> <p>A115A. The group auditor may further consider matters that may affect the exercise of professional skepticism in a group audit, such as those described in paragraph A9A, when evaluating the sufficiency and appropriateness of audit evidence obtained. In particular, the group auditor may consider whether such matters have inappropriately led the engagement team to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtain audit evidence that is easier to access rather than obtain evidence that is more relevant and reliable; • Obtain less persuasive evidence than is necessary in the circumstances; or • Design and perform audit procedures in a manner that is biased towards obtaining evidence that is corroborative or excluding evidence that is contradictory. <p>A115B. <u>Proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised)</u> requires the engagement partner to determine, on or before the date of the auditor’s report, through review of audit documentation and discussion with the engagement team, that sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained to support the conclusions reached and for the auditor’s report to be issued.⁸⁴ Information that may be relevant to the group auditor’s evaluation of the audit evidence obtained from the work performed by component</p>

⁸³ Paragraph 18 of AU-C section ISA 330, paragraph 18

⁸⁴ Paragraph 32 of proposed QM SAS ISA 220 (Revised), paragraph 32

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>auditors depends on the facts and circumstances of the group audit, and may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The communications from the component auditors required by paragraph 44, including the overall findings or conclusions of the component auditors on the work performed for purposes of the group audit; • Other communications from the component auditors throughout the group audit, including those required by paragraph 26A; • <u>When the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, the the group auditor’s direction and supervision of the component auditors, and review of their work, including, as applicable, the group auditor’s review of the component auditor’s audit documentation in accordance with paragraph 45A.</u> • <u>When the auditor’s report on the group financial statements will make reference to the audit of a component auditor, the component auditor’s report on the component’s financial statements, including, as applicable, if the opinion of a component auditor is modified or if that report includes an emphasis-of-matter or other-matter paragraph.</u> <p>A115C. In some circumstances, an overall summary memorandum describing the work performed and the results thereof may provide a basis on its own for the group auditor to conclude that the work performed and audit evidence obtained by the component auditor is sufficient for purposes of the group audit. This may be the case, for example, when the component auditor has been requested to perform specific further audit procedures as identified and communicated by the group auditor.</p>
<p><i>Evaluating the Effect on the Group Audit Opinion</i></p> <p>50. The group engagement partner shall<u>should</u> evaluate the effect on the group audit opinion of any uncorrected misstatements (whether identified by the group auditor</p>	<p><i>Evaluating the Effect on the Group Audit Opinion</i> (Ref: Para. 50)</p> <p>A116. The group engagement partner’s evaluation may include a consideration of whether corrected and uncorrected misstatements communicated by component auditors indicate a systemic issue (e.g., regarding transactions subject to common accounting</p>

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>or communicated by component auditors) and any instances where there has been an inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence <u>[Extant AU-C 600.45]</u>. (Ref: Para. A116)</p>	<p>policies or common controls) that may affect other components.</p>
<p><i>Considerations When Component Auditors Are Involved</i></p> <p>51. If the group auditor concludes that the work of the component auditor is insufficient, the group auditor shall should determine what additional audit procedures are to be performed, and whether they are to be performed by a component auditor or by the group auditor <u>[Extant AU-C 600.63]</u>.</p>	
Auditor's Report	
<p>52. The auditor's report on the group financial statements shall not refer to a component auditor, unless required by law or regulation to include such reference. If such reference is required by law or regulation, the auditor's report shall indicate that the reference does not diminish the group engagement partner's or the group engagement partner's firm's responsibility for the group audit opinion. (Ref: Para. A117–A118)</p>	<p>Auditor's Report (Ref: Para- 52)</p> <p>A117. Although component auditors may perform work on the financial information of the components for the group audit and as such are responsible for their overall findings or conclusions, the group engagement partner or the group engagement partner's firm is responsible for the group audit opinion regardless of whether reference will be made in the auditor's report on the group financial statements to the audit of a component auditor.</p> <p>A118. When the group audit opinion is modified because the group auditor was unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence in relation to the financial information of one or more components, the Basis for Qualified Opinion or Basis for Disclaimer of Opinion section in the auditor's report on the group financial statements describes the reasons for that inability.⁸⁵ In some circumstances, a reference to a component auditor may be necessary to adequately describe the reasons for the modified opinion, for</p>

⁸⁵ Paragraphs 21 and 25 of AU-C section ISA 705 (Revised), paragraphs 20 and 24

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
	<p>example, when the component auditor is unable to perform or complete the work requested on the component financial information due to circumstances beyond the control of component management.</p>
Communication with Group Management and Those Charged with Governance of the Group	
<p><i>Communication with Group Management</i></p> <p>53A. The group auditor shall<u>should</u> communicate with group management an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit, including the work to be performed at components of the group. (Ref. Para. A119A)</p>	<p>Communication with Group Management and Those Charged with Governance of the Group</p> <p><i>Communication with Group Management</i> (Ref. Para. 53A–55)</p> <p>A119A. The group audit plan may be complex due to the number and nature of the entities and business units comprising the group. In addition, as explained in <u>paragraph A5</u>, the group auditor may determine that certain entities or business units may be considered together as a component for purposes of planning and performing the group audit. Therefore, discussing with group management an overview of the group auditor plan may help in coordinating the work performed at components, including when component auditors are involved, and in identifying component management (see <u>paragraph A15</u>).</p>
<p>54. If fraud has been identified by the group auditor or brought to its attention by a component auditor (see <u>paragraph 44(b)(v)(f)</u>), or information indicates that a fraud may exist, the group auditor shall<u>should</u> communicate this on a timely basis to the appropriate level of group management in order to inform those with primary responsibility for the prevention and detection of fraud of matters relevant to their responsibilities. (Ref. <u>Para. A120</u>)</p>	<p>A120. <u>ISA AU-C section 240</u> contains requirements and guidance on communication of fraud to management and, when management may be involved in the fraud, to those charged with governance.⁸⁶</p>
<p>55. <u>When a</u> component auditor <u>has been engaged</u> <u>[Extant</u></p>	<p>A121. Group management may need to keep certain material sensitive information</p>

⁸⁶ Paragraphs ~~39-41-43~~ of AU-C section ~~ISA 240~~, paragraphs ~~41-43~~

<u>AU-CISA 600</u> Requirement	Application Material
<p>AU-C 600.48] may be required by statute, regulation or for another reason, to express an audit opinion on the financial statements of an entity or business unit that forms part of the group. In that case, the group auditor shall <u>should</u> request group management to inform management of the entity or business unit of any matter of which the group auditor becomes aware that may be significant to the financial statements of the entity or business unit, but of which management of the entity or business unit may be unaware. If group management refuses to communicate the matter to management of the entity or business unit, the group auditor shall <u>should</u> discuss the matter with those charged with governance of the group. If the matter remains unresolved, the group auditor, subject to legal and professional confidentiality considerations, shall <u>should</u> consider whether to advise the component auditor not to issue the auditor's report on the financial statements of the entity or business unit until the matter is resolved <u>and whether to withdraw from the engagement [Extant AU-C 600.48].</u> (Ref: Para. A121)</p>	<p>confidential. Examples of matters that may be significant to the financial statements of the component of which component management may be unaware include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential litigation. • Plans for abandonment of material operating assets. • Subsequent events. • Significant legal agreements.
<p><i>Communication with Those Charged with Governance of the Group</i></p> <p>56. The group auditor shall <u>should</u> communicate the following matters with those charged with governance of the group, in addition to those required by <u>AU-C section ISA 260 (Revised) The Auditor's Communication with Those</u></p>	<p><i>Communication with Those Charged with Governance of the Group</i> (Ref: Para. 56)</p> <p>A122. The matters the group auditor communicates to those charged with governance of the group may include those brought to the attention of the group auditor by component auditors that the group auditor judges to be significant to the responsibilities of those charged with governance of the group. Communication with those charged with governance of the group may take place at various times during the group audit. For example, the matter referred to in <u>paragraph 56(a)</u> may be communicated after the group auditor has determined the work to be performed on the financial information of</p>

_AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
<p><u>Charged with Governance</u>,⁸⁷ and other <u>AU-C sections</u>!SAs: (Ref: Para. A122)</p> <p>(a) An overview of the work to be performed at the components of the group, <u>including the basis for the decision to make reference to the audit of a component in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements [Extant AU-C 600.49a]</u>, and the nature of the group auditor’s planned involvement in the work to be performed by component auditors. (Ref: Para. A123)</p> <p>(b) Instances when the group auditor’s review of the work of a component auditor gave rise to a concern about the quality of that component auditor’s work, and how the group auditor addressed the concern.</p> <p>(c) Any limitations on the scope of the group audit, for example, significant matters related to restrictions on access to people or information.</p> <p>(d) Fraud or suspected fraud involving group management, component management, employees <u>at entities or business units</u> who have significant roles in the group’s system of internal control or others <u>at entities or business units in which</u>when the fraud resulted in a material misstatement of the group financial statements <u>has or may have resulted from fraud [Extant AU-</u></p>	<p>the components. On the other hand, the matter referred to in <u>paragraph 56(b)</u> may be communicated at the end of the audit, and the matters referred to in <u>paragraph 56(c)–(d)</u> may be communicated when they occur.</p> <p>A123. <u>ISA AU-C section 260 (Revised)</u>⁸⁸ requires the auditor to communicate with those charged with governance an overview of the planned scope and timing of the audit. For a group audit, this communication helps those charged with governance understand the group auditor’s determination of the components at which audit work will be performed, including whether certain of the group’s entities or business units will be considered together as a component, and the planned involvement of component auditors. This communication also helps to enable a mutual understanding of and discussion about the group and its environment (see <u>paragraph 24</u>) and any areas in which those charged with governance may request the group auditor to undertake additional procedures.</p>

⁸⁷ AU-C section ~~ISA~~ 260 (Revised), *The Auditor’s Communication with Those Charged with Governance*

⁸⁸ Paragraph- 11~~15~~ of AU-C section!SA 260 (Revised), paragraph 15

AU-C ISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
C 600.49e].	
<p><i>Communication of Identified Deficiencies in Internal Control</i></p> <p>56A. In applying AU-C sectionISA 265, <i>Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit</i>,⁸⁹ the group auditor shall-should determine whether any identified deficiencies in the group’s system of internal control are required to be communicated to those charged with governance of the group or group management. In making this determination, the group auditor shall-should consider deficiencies in internal control that have been identified by component auditors and communicated to the group auditor in accordance with paragraph 44(e). (Ref: Para. A123A)</p>	<p><i>Communication of Identified Deficiencies in Internal Control</i> (Ref: Para. 56A)</p> <p>A123A. In accordance with ISA AU-C section 265, the group auditor is responsible for determining, on the basis of the audit work performed, whether one or more identified deficiencies, individually or in combination, constitute significant deficiencies or material weaknesses.⁹⁰ When the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, the group auditor may request input from the component auditor as to whether an identified deficiency or combination of deficiencies at the component is a significant deficiency or material weakness in internal control.</p>
Documentation	
<p>57. In accordance with AU-C sectionISA 230, the audit documentation for a group audit engagement needs to be sufficient to enable an experienced auditor, having no previous connection with the audit, to understand the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures performed, the evidence obtained, and the conclusions reached with respect to significant matters arising during the group audit. In applying AU-C sectionISA 230, <i>Audit Documentation</i>,⁹¹ the group auditor shall-should include in the audit documentation the following: (Ref: Para.</p>	<p>Documentation (Ref: Para. 57)</p> <p>A123B. Other ISAs AU-C sections contain specific documentation requirements that are intended to clarify the application of ISA AU-C section 230 in the particular circumstances of those other AU-C sections ISAs. The Appendix to ISA AU-C section 230 lists other AU-C sections ISAs that contain specific documentation requirements and guidance.</p> <p>A123C. The audit documentation for the group audit supports the group auditor’s evaluation in accordance with paragraph 49 as to whether sufficient appropriate audit evidence has been obtained on which to base the group audit opinion. Also see paragraph</p>

⁸⁹ ~~Paragraph of AU-C section~~ISA 265, *Communicating Deficiencies in Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit* to Those Charged with Governance and Management

⁹⁰ ~~Paragraph 98 of AU-C section~~ISA 265, ~~paragraph 8~~

⁹¹ ~~Paragraphs 1, 3, 8, A8, A9 of AU-C section~~ — ISA 230, *Audit Documentation*, ~~paragraphs 1–3, 8–11, A6–A7 and Appendix~~

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>A123B–A124x, A129–A130A)</p> <p>(a) Significant matters related to restrictions on access to people or information within the group that were considered before deciding to accept or continue the engagement, or that arose subsequent to acceptance or continuance, and how such matters were addressed.</p> <p>(b) The basis for the group auditor’s determination of components for purposes of planning and performing the group audit. (Ref: Para. A124y)</p> <p>(c) <u>Those components for which reference to the audit of component auditors is made in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. [Extant AU-C 600.50b]</u></p> <p>(d) <u>For those components for which reference to the audit of component auditors is made in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements</u></p> <p>(i) <u>The financial statements of the component and the component auditor’s report thereon.</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>When the component auditor’s report on the component’s financial statements does not state that the audit of the component’s financial statements was performed in accordance with GAAS or the standards promulgated by the</u></p>	<p>A115B.</p> <p>A124. The audit documentation for the group audit comprises:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The documentation in the group auditor’s file; and • The separate documentation in the respective component auditor files relating to the work performed by the component auditors for purposes of the group audit (i.e., component auditor documentation). <p>A124x. The final assembly and retention of the audit documentation for a group audit is subject to the policies or procedures of the group auditor’s firm in accordance with <u>proposed ISQMS 1.</u>⁹² <u>When the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> ¶ the group auditor may provide specific instructions to component auditors regarding the assembly and retention of the documentation of work performed by them for purposes of the group audit.</p> <p><i>Basis for the Group Auditor’s Determination of Components</i> (Ref: Para: 57(b))</p> <p>A124y. Documentation of the basis for the group auditor’s determination of components may be evidenced in various ways, including through fulfilling the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paragraph 17A, which requires the group auditor to determine the components at which audit work will be performed, based on the group auditor’s understanding of the group’s organizational structure and information system. • Paragraph 26B, which requires the group auditor to take responsibility for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements. • Paragraph 56(a), which requires the group auditor to communicate with those charged with governance of the group an overview of the work to be performed at the components of the group and the nature of the group auditor’s planned involvement in the work to be performed by component auditors-, <u>including the basis for the decision to make reference to the audit of a component in the</u>

⁹² Paragraphs 3234(f) and A87–A89A83–A85 of ISQMS 1, paragraphs 31(f) and A83–A85

_AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
<p><u>PCAOB, the basis for the group engagement partner's determination that the audit performed by the component auditor met the relevant requirements of GAAS. [Extant AU-C 600.50c]</u></p> <p><u>(e) When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, ¶</u>the basis for the determination of component performance materiality and the threshold for communicating misstatements in the component financial information to the group auditor.</p> <p><u>(ee)a)</u> The basis for the group auditor's determination that component auditors have the appropriate competence and capabilities, including sufficient time, to perform the assigned <u>workaudit procedures</u> at the components. (Ref: Para. A124z)</p> <p><u>(ee)b)</u> Key elements of the understanding of the group's system of internal control in accordance with <u>paragraph 24(c)</u>;</p> <p><u>(df)</u> <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, ¶</u>the nature, timing and extent of the group auditor's direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work, including, as applicable, the group auditor's</p>	<p><u>auditor's report on the group financial statements..</u></p> <p><i>Basis for the Group Auditor's Determination of the Competence and Capabilities of Component Auditors</i> (Ref: Para: 57(ca))</p> <p>A124z. <u>Proposed</u> — <u>ISQMS</u> 1 provides guidance on matters that the firm's policies or procedures may address regarding the competence and capabilities of the engagement team members.⁹³ Such policies or procedures may describe or provide guidance about how to document the determination of the competence and capabilities of the engagement team, including component auditors. For example, the confirmation obtained from the component auditor in accordance with <u>paragraph 19</u> may include information about the component auditor's relevant industry experience. The group auditor also may ask for confirmation that the component auditor has sufficient time to perform the assigned audit procedures (see <u>paragraph A40B</u>).</p> <p><i>Documentation of the Direction and Supervision of Component Auditors and the Review of Their Work</i> (Ref: Para. 57(d))</p> <p>A124D. As described in <u>paragraph A48A</u>, <u>when the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>, the approach to direction, supervision and review in a group audit will be tailored by the group auditor based on the facts and circumstances of the engagement, and will generally include a combination of addressing the group auditor's firm policies or procedures and responses specific to the group audit. Such policies or procedures may also describe or provide guidance about the documentation of the group auditor's direction and supervision of the engagement team and the review of their work.</p> <p>A125. <u>ISA AU-C section</u>-300 requires the auditor to describe, in the audit plan, the nature, timing and extent of the planned direction and supervision of engagement team members and the review of their work. When component auditors are involved <u>and the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of</u></p>

⁹³ Paragraph A100A96 of ISQMS 1, paragraph A96

_AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
<p>review of parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation in accordance with paragraph 45A. (Ref: Para. A124D–A128)</p> <p>(eg) Matters related to communications with component auditors, including:</p> <p>(i) Matters, if any, related to fraud, related parties or going concern communicated in accordance with paragraph 26A.</p> <p>(ii) Matters relevant to the group auditor’s conclusion with regard to the group audit, in accordance with paragraph 44, including how the group auditor has addressed significant matters discussed with component auditors, component management or group management.</p> <p>(f) The group auditor’s evaluation of, and response to, findings or conclusions of the component auditors about matters that could have a material effect on the group financial statements.</p>	<p>a component auditor, the extent of such descriptions will often vary by component, recognizing that the planned nature, timing and extent of direction and supervision of component auditors, and review of their work, is influenced by the factors described in paragraph A33F.</p> <p>A126. When component auditors are involved in the group audit, the group auditor’s documentation of its involvement in the work of component auditors may include, for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Required communications with component auditors, including any instructions issued and other confirmations required by this proposed SAS ISA. • The rationale for the selection of visits to component auditor sites, attendees at meetings and the nature of the matters discussed. • Matters discussed in audioconferences or videoconferences with component auditors or component management. • The rationale for the group auditor’s determination of component auditor audit documentation selected for review. • Changes in the planned nature and extent of involvement with component auditors, and the reasons why. <p>A127. Paragraph 45A requires the group auditor, when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor, to determine whether, and the extent to which it is necessary to review parts of the component auditor’s audit documentation. Paragraphs A112B-A113 provide guidance for the group auditor in making this determination.</p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A127A. Component auditor documentation ordinarily need not be replicated in the group auditor’s audit file. However, depending on the facts and circumstances, the group auditor may decide to replicate, summarize or retain copies of certain component auditor documentation in the group auditor’s audit file to supplement the description of a particular matter in communications from the component auditor, including the matters required to be communicated by this <u>proposed SAS</u> ISA. Examples of such component auditor documentation may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A listing or summary of the significant judgments made by the component auditor, and the conclusions reached thereon, that are relevant to the group audit; • Matters that may need to be communicated to those charged with governance of the group; or • Matters that may be determined to be key audit matters to be communicated in the auditor’s report on the group financial statements. <p>A127B. When required by law or regulation, certain component auditor documentation may need to be included in the group auditor’s audit file, for example, to respond to the request of a regulatory authority to review documentation related to work performed by a component auditor.</p> <p>A128. <u>When the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor,</u> Policies or procedures established by the firm in accordance with the firm’s system of quality management, or resources provided by the firm or a network, may assist the group auditor in documenting the direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work. For example, an electronic audit tool may be used to facilitate communications between the group auditor and component auditors. The electronic audit tool also may be used for audit documentation, including providing evidence of the reviewer(s) and the date(s) and extent of the review.</p> <p><i>Additional Considerations When Access to Component Auditor Documentation is Restricted (Ref: Para. 57)</i></p>

AU-CISA 600 Requirement	Application Material
	<p>A129. Audit documentation for a group audit may present some additional complexities or challenges in certain circumstances. This may be the case, for example, when law or regulation may restrict the component auditor from providing documentation outside of its jurisdiction, or when war, civil unrest or outbreaks of disease restrict access to relevant component auditor documentation.</p> <p>A130. The group auditor may be able to overcome such restrictions and access the relevant component auditor documentation by one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visiting the location of the component; • Meeting with the component auditor in a location different from where the component auditor is located; • Reviewing the relevant audit documentation remotely through the use of technology, when not prohibited by law or regulation; • Requesting the component auditor to prepare and provide a memorandum that addresses the relevant information and holding discussions with the component auditor, if necessary, to discuss the contents of the memorandum; or • Discussing with the component auditor the procedures performed, the results obtained and the conclusions reached by the component auditor. <p>A130x. In these circumstances, the group auditor’s documentation nonetheless needs to comply with the requirements of this <u>proposed SAS ISA</u>, including those relating to the documentation of the nature, timing and extent of the group auditor’s direction and supervision of component auditors and the review of their work <u>when the group auditor is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor</u>. The guidance in <u>paragraph A113</u> may be helpful to the group auditor in determining the extent of the review of the component auditor documentation in these circumstances. <u>Paragraphs A127A and A127B</u> provide examples of circumstances in which certain component auditor documentation may be included in the group auditor’s audit file.</p> <p>A130A. <u>When the auditor of the group financial statements is assuming responsibility for the work of a component auditor and if the group auditor</u> is unable to obtain access to the</p>

AU-CISA-600 Requirement	Application Material
	component auditor documentation, the group auditor may need to consider whether a scope limitation exists that may require a modification to the opinion on the group financial statements. See paragraph A32 .

Appendix 2
(Ref: Para. A32)

Illustration 1 — ~~Illustration of Independent A Auditor's Report~~ With a Qualified Opinion When Where the Group Auditor Is Not Able to Obtain Sufficient Appropriate Audit Evidence on Which to Base the Group Auditor's Audit Opinion

~~For purposes of this illustrative auditor's report, the following Circumstances include the following circumstances are assumed:~~

- ~~• Audit of a complete set of consolidated general purpose financial statements of an entity other than a listed entity using a fair presentation framework. The audit is a group audit of an entity with subsidiaries (i.e., ISA 600 (Revised) this proposed SAS applies) (comparative).~~
- ~~• The consolidated financial statements are prepared by Mmanagement is responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements management of the entity in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board GAAP IFRSs (a general purpose framework).~~
- ~~• The terms of the audit engagement reflect the description of management's responsibility for the consolidated financial statements in ISA AU-C section 210, Terms of Engagement.~~
- ~~• The group auditor is unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence relating to a component accounted for by the equity method because the group auditor was unable to obtain the audited financial statements of the component as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, including the auditor's report thereon. In this example, the auditor of the group financial statements is not making reference to the report of a component auditor. In the auditor's professional judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of this inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material but not pervasive. Accordingly, the auditor's report contains a qualified opinion (recognized at \$15 million in the statement of financial position, which reflects total assets of \$60 million) because the group auditor did not have access to the accounting records, management, or auditor of the component.~~
- ~~• The group auditor has read the audited financial statements of the component as at December 31, 20X1, including the auditor's report thereon, and considered related financial information kept by group management in relation to the component.~~
- ~~• In the group engagement partner's judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of this inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material but not pervasive.¹~~
- ~~• The IESBA Code comprises all of the relevant ethical requirements that apply to the audit.~~

¹ If, in the group engagement partner's judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of the inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material and pervasive, the group engagement partner would disclaim an opinion in accordance with AU-C section ISA 705 (Revised).

- Based on the audit evidence obtained, the auditor has concluded that ~~there are no conditions or events considered in the aggregate a material uncertainty does not exist related to events or conditions~~ that ~~raise substantial~~ ~~may cast significant~~ doubt ~~about on~~ the entity's ability to continue as a going concern ~~for a reasonable period of time~~ in accordance with ~~ISA~~ **AU-C section 570, *The Auditor's Consideration of an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern* (Revised)**.
- The auditor ~~has~~ ~~not been engaged~~ ~~required, and has otherwise not decided,~~ to communicate key audit matters in accordance with ~~ISA~~ **AU-C section 701**.²
- The auditor has obtained all ~~of~~ the other information prior to the date of the auditor's report and the qualified opinion on the consolidated financial statements also affects the other information ~~included in the annual report~~.
- ~~Those responsible for oversight of the consolidated financial statements differ from those responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.~~
- ~~In addition to the audit of the consolidated financial statements, the auditor has other reporting responsibilities required under local law.~~

~~Independent~~ **INDEPENDENT Auditor's** ~~UDITOR'S~~ **REPORT** ~~INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT~~

~~To the Shareholders of ABC Company [or Other [Appropriate Addressee]~~

Report on the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements³

Qualified Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of ABC Company and its subsidiaries (the Group), which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as ~~of~~ December 31, 20X1, and the ~~related~~ consolidated statement of comprehensive income, consolidated statement of changes in equity and consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended, and ~~the related~~ notes to the consolidated financial statements, ~~including a summary of significant accounting policies~~.

In our opinion, except for the possible effects of the matter described in the Basis for Qualified Opinion ~~section~~ of our report, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects ~~(or give a true and fair view of)~~, the consolidated financial position of the Group as ~~of~~ December 31, 20X1, and (of) its consolidated financial performance and its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with ~~accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America~~ **International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs)**.

² ~~AU-C section~~ISA 701, *Communicating Key Audit Matters in the Independent Auditor's Report*

³ The ~~subtitlesub-title~~, "Report on the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances when the second ~~subtitlesub-title~~, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements" is not applicable.

Basis for Qualified Opinion

~~We were unable to obtain audited financial statements supporting the Company's investment in a foreign affiliate stated at \$ _____ and \$ _____ at December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, respectively, or its equity in earnings of that affiliate of \$ _____ and \$ _____, which is included in net income for the years then ended as described in Note X to the consolidated financial statements; nor were we able to satisfy ourselves as to the carrying value of the investment in the foreign affiliate or the equity in its earnings by other auditing procedures. ABC Company's investment in XYZ Company, a foreign associate acquired during the year and accounted for by the equity method, is carried at \$15 million on the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 20X1, and ABC's share of XYZ's net income of \$1 million is included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income for the year then ended. We were unable to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the carrying amount of ABC's investment in XYZ as at December 31, 20X1 and ABC's share of XYZ's net income for the year because we were denied access to the financial information, management, and the auditors of XYZ. Consequently, we were unable to determine whether any adjustments to these amounts were necessary.~~

Basis for Qualified Opinion

We conducted our ~~audit~~ ~~audit~~ in accordance with ~~auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS)~~ ~~International Standards on Auditing (ISAs)~~. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements ~~section~~ of our report. We are ~~required to be independent of ABC Company and its subsidiaries and to meet independentour~~ ~~independent of the Group in accordance with the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants' International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) (IESBA Code), and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits~~ ~~the engagement~~ ~~the IESBA Code~~. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our qualified audit opinion.

~~**Other Information** [or another title if appropriate such as "Information Other than the Financial Statements and Auditor's Report Thereon"] [Reporting in accordance with the reporting requirements in ISA AU-C section 720 (Revised)⁴—see Illustration 6 in Appendix 2 of ISA AU-C section 720 (Revised). The last paragraph of the other information in Illustration 6 would be customized to describe the specific matter giving rise to the qualified opinion that also affects the other information.]~~

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Consolidated Financial Statements⁵

~~Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.~~

~~In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for [insert the time period set by the applicable financial reporting framework].~~

~~[Reporting in accordance with ISA AU-C section 700 (Revised)⁶—see Illustration 2 in ISA AU-C section 700 (Revised).]~~

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements

~~Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a~~

material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the consolidated financial statements.

[Reporting in accordance with ISA AU-C section 700 (Revised) — see Illustration 2 in ISA AU-C section 700 (Revised). The last two paragraphs which are applicable for audits of listed entities only would not be included.]

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ABC Company's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.⁷
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control–related matters that we identified during the audit.

⁴—AU-C section ISA 720 (Revised), *The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Other Information*

⁶—Throughout these illustrative auditor's reports, the terms management and those charged with governance may need to be replaced by another term that is appropriate in the context of the legal framework in the particular jurisdiction.

⁶—AU-C section ISA 700 (Revised), *Forming an Opinion and Reporting on Financial Statements*

⁷ The subtitle "Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances in which the second subtitle, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements," is not applicable

[Other Information for another title, if appropriate, such as "Information Other Than the Financial Statements and Auditor's Report Thereon"\]](#)

[\[Reporting in accordance with the reporting requirements in section 720, The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Other Information Included in Annual Reports.\]](#)

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

[\[The form and content of this section of the auditor's report would vary depending on the nature of the auditor's other reporting responsibilities.\]](#)

[\[Reporting in accordance with ISA AU-C section 700 \(Revised\) — see Illustration 2 in ISA AU-C section 700 \(Revised\).\]](#)

[\[Signature of auditor's firm in the name of the audit firm, the personal name of the auditor, or both, as appropriate for the particular jurisdiction\]](#)

[\[City and state where the auditor's report is issued, Auditor Address\]](#)

[\[Date of the auditor's report\]](#)

[If, in the group engagement partner's judgment, the effect on the group financial statements of the inability to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence is material and pervasive, the group engagement partner would disclaim an opinion in accordance with ISA AU-C section 705_ \(Revised\).](#)

[Illustration 2 — A Report in Which the Auditor of the Group Financial Statements Is Making Reference to the Audit of the Financial Statements of a Component Prepared Using the Same Financial Reporting Framework as That Used for the Group Financial Statements and Performed by a Component Auditor in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards](#)

[Circumstances include the following:](#)

- [• Audit of a complete set of consolidated general purpose financial statements \(comparative\).](#)
- [• Management is responsible for the preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board.](#)
- [• The terms of the audit engagement reflect the description of management's responsibility for the financial statements in section 210, *Terms of Engagement*.](#)
- [• The auditor of the group financial statements is making reference to the audit of the financial statements of a component prepared using the](#)

same financial reporting framework as that used for the group financial statements and performed by a component auditor in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS).

- The auditor has concluded that an unmodified (that is, "clean") opinion is appropriate based on the audit evidence obtained.
- Based on the audit evidence obtained, the auditor has concluded that there are no conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time in accordance with section 570, *The Auditor's Consideration of an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern*.
- The auditor has obtained all the other information prior to the date of the auditor's report and has not identified an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information included in the annual report.
- The auditor has not been engaged to communicate key audit matters.

Independent Auditor's Report

[Appropriate Addressee]

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements¹

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of ABC Company and its subsidiaries, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of the other auditors, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

¹ The subtitle "Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances in which the second subtitle, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements," is not applicable

We did not audit the financial statements of B Company, a wholly owned subsidiary, which statements reflect total assets constituting 20 percent and 22 percent, respectively, of consolidated total assets at December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and total revenues constituting 18 percent and 20 percent, respectively, of consolidated total revenues for the years then ended. Those statements were audited by other auditors, whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for B Company, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of ABC Company and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for *[insert the time period set by the applicable financial reporting framework]*.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the consolidated financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risk. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.

- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ABC Company's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.²
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control–related matters that we identified during the audit.

Other Information for another title, if appropriate, such as "Information Other Than the Financial Statements and Auditor's Report Thereon"]

[Reporting in accordance with the reporting requirements in section 720, The Auditor's Responsibilities Relating to Other Information Included in Annual Reports.]

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

[The form and content of this section of the auditor's report would vary depending on the nature of the auditor's other reporting responsibilities.]

[Signature of auditor's firm]

[City and state where the auditor's report is issued]

[Date of the auditor's report]

Illustration 3— A Report in Which the Auditor of the Group Financial Statements Is Making Reference to the Audit of the Financial Statements of a Component Prepared Using a Different Financial Reporting Framework From That Used for the Group Financial Statements and Performed by a Component Auditor in Accordance With GAAS

² The subtitle "Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances in which the second subtitle, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements," is not applicable

Circumstances are the same as in illustration 2, except in this example, the auditor of the group financial statements is making reference to the audit of the financial statements of a component prepared using a different financial reporting framework than that used for the group financial statements and performed by a component auditor in accordance with GAAS.

Independent Auditor's Report

[Appropriate Addressee]

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements¹

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of ABC Company and its subsidiaries, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of the other auditors, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We did not audit the financial statements of B Company, a wholly owned subsidiary, which statements reflect total assets constituting 20 percent and 22 percent, respectively, of consolidated total assets at December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and total revenues constituting 18 percent and 20 percent, respectively, of consolidated total revenues for the years then ended. Those statements, which were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, were audited by other auditors, whose report has been furnished to us. We have applied audit procedures on the conversion adjustments to the financial statements of B Company, which conform those financial statements to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for B Company, prior to these conversion adjustments, is based solely on the report of the other auditors.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are

¹ The subtitle "Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances in which the second subtitle, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements," is not applicable.

required to be independent of ABC Company and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for [insert the time period set by the applicable financial reporting framework].

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the consolidated financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ABC Company's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.²
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

² The subtitle "Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances in which the second subtitle, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements," is not applicable

- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control–related matters that we identified during the audit.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

[The form and content of this section of the auditor's report would vary depending on the nature of the auditor's other reporting responsibilities.]

[Signature of auditor's firm]

[City and state where the auditor's report is issued]

[Date of the auditor's report]

Illustration 4 — A Report in Which the Auditor of the Group Financial Statements Is Making Reference to the Audit of the Financial Statements of a Component Prepared Using the Same Financial Reporting Framework as That Used for the Group Financial Statements and Performed by a Component Auditor in Accordance With Auditing Standards Other Than GAAS

Circumstances are the same as in illustration 2, except in this example, the auditor of the group financial statements is making reference to the audit of the financial statements of a component prepared using the same financial reporting framework as that used for the group financial statements and performed by a component auditor in accordance with auditing standards other than GAAS or standards promulgated by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The group engagement partner has determined that the component auditor performed additional audit procedures to meet the relevant requirements of GAAS. If additional procedures were not necessary for the audit of the component auditor to meet the relevant requirements of GAAS, illustration 2 is applicable.

Independent Auditor's Report

[Appropriate Addressee]

Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements¹

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of ABC Company and its subsidiaries, which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

In our opinion, based on our audits and the report of, and additional audit procedures performed by, the other auditors, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ABC Company and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We did not audit the financial statements of B Company, a wholly owned subsidiary, which statements reflect total assets constituting 20 percent and 22 percent, respectively, of consolidated total assets at December 31, 20X1 and 20X0, and total revenues constituting 18 percent and 20 percent, respectively, of consolidated total revenues for the years then ended. Those statements were audited by other auditors in accordance with [describe the set of auditing standards], whose report has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for B Company, is based solely on the report of, and additional audit procedures to meet the relevant requirements of auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America performed by, the other auditors.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of ABC Company and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for [insert the time period set by the applicable financial reporting framework].

¹ The subtitle "Report on the Consolidated Financial Statements" is unnecessary in circumstances in which the second subtitle, "Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements," is not applicable.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the consolidated financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risk. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ABC Company's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.²
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about ABC Company's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control–related matters that we identified during the audit.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

[The form and content of this section of the auditor's report would vary depending on the nature of the auditor's other reporting responsibilities.]

² In circumstances in which the auditor also has responsibility to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control in conjunction with the audit of the consolidated financial statements, omit the following: "but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ABC Company's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed."

[\[Signature of auditor's firm\]](#)

[\[City and state where the auditor's report is issued\]](#)

[\[Date of the auditor's report\]](#)

Appendix 3
(Ref: Para. A53)

Understanding the Group’s System of Internal Control

1. This appendix provides examples of matters related to internal control that may be helpful in obtaining an understanding of the system of internal control in the context of a group environment, and expands on how [SAS No. 145/ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\)](#) is to be applied in relation to an audit of group financial statements.³ The examples may not be relevant to every group audit engagement and the list of examples is not necessarily complete.

Control Environment

2. The group auditor’s understanding of the control environment may include matters such as the following:
- The structure of the governance and management functions across the group, and group management’s oversight responsibilities, including arrangements for assigning authority and responsibility to management of entities or business units in the group.
 - How oversight over the group’s system of internal control by, those charged with governance is structured and organized.
 - How ethical and behavioral standards are communicated and reinforced in practice across the group, (e.g., group-wide programs, such as codes of conduct and fraud prevention programs).
 - The consistency of policies and procedures across the group, including a group financial reporting procedures manual.

The Group’s Risk Assessment Process

3. The group auditor’s understanding of the group’s risk assessment process may include matters such as group management’s risk assessment process, that is, the process for identifying, analyzing and managing business risks, including the risk of fraud, that may result in material misstatement of the group financial statements. It may also include an understanding of how sophisticated the group’s risk assessment process is and the involvement of entities and business units in this process.

The Group’s Process to Monitor the System of Internal Control

4. The group auditor’s understanding of the group’s process to monitor the system of internal control may include matters such as monitoring of controls, including how the controls are monitored across the group and, where relevant, activities of the internal audit function across the group. The group’s internal audit function, including its nature, responsibilities and activities in respect of monitoring of controls at entities or business units in the group. [ISA AU-C section 610 \(Revised 2013\)](#)⁴ deals with the group auditor’s evaluation of whether the internal audit function’s organizational status and

³ [Appendix C of SAS No. 145/ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\)](#), [Appendix 3](#)

⁴ [Paragraph 15 of AU-C section 610 \(Revised 2013\)](#), [Using the Work of Internal Auditors paragraph 15](#)

relevant policies and procedures adequately supports the objectivity of internal auditors, the level of competence of the internal audit function, and whether the function applies a systematic and disciplined approach where the group audit team expects to use the function's work.

The Information System and Communication

5. The group auditor's understanding of the group's information system and communication may include matters such as the following:
- Group management's monitoring of operations and the financial results of entities or business units in the group, including regular reporting routines, which enables group management to monitor performance against budgets, and to take appropriate action.
 - Monitoring, controlling, reconciling, and eliminating intra-group transactions and unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances at group level.
 - A process for monitoring the timeliness and evaluating the accuracy and completeness of financial information received from entities or business units in the group.

Consolidation Process

6. The group auditor's understanding of the consolidation process may include matters such as the following:

Matters relating to the applicable financial reporting framework:

- The extent to which management of entities or business units in the group have an understanding of the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The process for identifying and accounting for entities or business units in the group in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The process for identifying reportable segments for segment reporting in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The process for identifying related party relationships and related party transactions for reporting in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The accounting policies applied to the group financial statements, changes from those of the previous financial year, and changes resulting from new or revised standards under the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The procedures for dealing with entities or business units in the group with financial year-ends different from the group's year-end.

Matters relating to the consolidation process:

- Group management's process for obtaining an understanding of the accounting policies used by entities or business units in the group, and, where applicable, ensuring that uniform accounting policies are used to prepare the financial information of the entities or business units in the group for the group financial statements, and that differences in accounting policies are identified, and adjusted where required in terms of the applicable financial reporting framework. Uniform accounting policies are the specific principles, bases, conventions, rules, and practices adopted by the group, based on the applicable financial reporting framework, that the entities or business units in the group use to report similar

transactions consistently. These policies are ordinarily described in the financial reporting procedures manual and reporting package issued by group management.

- Group management’s process for ensuring complete, accurate and timely financial reporting by the entities or business units in the group for the consolidation.
- The process for translating the financial information of foreign entities or business units in the group into the currency of the group financial statements.
- How the group’s IT environment is organized for the consolidation and the policies that define the flows of information in the consolidation process, including the IT applications involved.
- Group management’s process for obtaining information on subsequent events.

Matters relating to consolidation adjustments and reclassifications:

- The process for recording consolidation adjustments, including the preparation, authorization and processing of related journal entries, and the experience of personnel responsible for the consolidation.
- The consolidation adjustments required by the applicable financial reporting framework.
- The business rationale for the events and transactions that gave rise to the consolidation adjustments.
- Frequency, nature and size of transactions between entities or business units in the group.
- The procedures for monitoring, controlling, reconciling and eliminating intra-group transactions and unrealized profits, and intra-group account balances.
- Steps taken to arrive at the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities, procedures for amortizing goodwill (where applicable), and impairment testing of goodwill, in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.
- Arrangements with a majority owner or minority interests regarding losses incurred by an entity or business unit in the group (e.g., an obligation of the minority interest to make good such losses).

Control Activities

7. The group auditor’s understanding of the control activities component may include matters such as the following:
 - The extent of centralization in the group’s IT environment and the commonality of IT applications, IT processes and IT infrastructure.
 - The commonality of information processing controls and general IT controls for all or part of the group.
 - The extent of the commonality of the design of controls for all or part of the group that address risks of material misstatement of the group financial statements at the assertion level.
 - The extent to which commonly designed controls have been implemented consistently for all or part of the group.

Appendix 4
(Ref: Para. A81)

Examples of Events or Conditions that May Give Rise to Risks of Material Misstatement of the Group Financial Statements

The following are examples of events (including transactions) and conditions that may indicate the existence of risks of material misstatement in the group financial statements, at the financial statement level or the assertion level, including with respect to the consolidation process. The examples provided by inherent risk factor cover a broad range of events and conditions; however, not all events and conditions are relevant to every group audit engagement and the list of examples is not exhaustive. The events and conditions have been categorized by the inherent risk factor that may have the greatest effect in the circumstances. Importantly, due to the interrelationships among inherent risk factors, the example events and conditions also are likely to be subject to, or affected by, other inherent risk factors to varying degree. Also see [SAS No. 145/ISA 315 \(Revised 2019\)](#), Appendix 2.

Inherent Risk Factor	Examples of Events or Conditions that May Give Rise to the Existence of Risks of Material Misstatement of the Group Financial Statements at the Assertion Level:
Complexity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The existence of complex transactions that are accounted for in more than one entity or business units in the group. • The application of accounting policies by entities or business units in the group that differ from those applied to the group financial statements. • Accounting measurements or disclosures that involve complex processes used by entities or business units in the group such as accounting for complex financial instruments. • Operations that are subject to a high degree of complex regulation in multiple jurisdictions, or entities or business units in the group that operate in multiple industries that are subject to different types of regulation.
Subjectivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Judgments regarding which entities or business units in the group require incorporation of their financial information in the group financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework, for example, whether any special-purpose entities or non-trading entities exist and require incorporation. • Judgments regarding the correct application of the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework by entities or business units in the group.
Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frequent acquisitions, disposals or reorganizations.
Uncertainty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entities or business units in the group operating in foreign jurisdictions that may be exposed to factors such as unexpected government intervention in areas such as trade and fiscal policy, and restrictions on currency and dividend movements; and fluctuations in exchange rates.

Agenda Item 5A – Revision of AU-C Section 600, Proposed SAS, *Special Considerations—Audits of Group Financial Statements (Including the Work of Component Auditors)* Marked from September 2021 IAASB Draft of Proposed ISA 600 (Revised)

<p>Susceptibility to Misstatement Due to Management Bias or Other Fraud Risk Factors Insofar as They Affect Inherent Risk</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unusual related party relationships and transactions. • Entities or business units in the group with different financial year-ends, which may be utilized to manipulate the timing of transactions. • Prior occurrences of unauthorized or incomplete consolidation adjustments. • Aggressive tax planning within the group, or large cash transactions with entities in tax havens. • Prior occurrences of intra-group account balances that did not balance or reconcile on consolidation. • Large or unusual cash transfers within the group, particularly to newly incorporated entities or business units operating in locations with a significant or heightened fraud risk
---	--

Indicators that the control environment, the group’s risk assessment process or the group’s process to monitor the group’s system of internal control are not appropriate to the group’s circumstances, considering the nature and complexity of the group, and do not provide an appropriate foundation for the other components of the group’s system of internal control, include:

- Poor corporate governance structures, including decision-making processes that are not transparent.
- Non-existent or ineffective controls over the group’s financial reporting process, including inadequate group management information on monitoring of operations and financial results of entities or business units in the group.