### ASB Meeting July 20-22, 2021



### Agenda Item 2C

#### **DRAFT**

### **CONTENTS**

		Page
Ex	xecutive Summary	
	Introduction	2
	Background	2
	Convergence	2
	Effective Date	3
	Fundamental Aspects of SAS No. 145	3
	Obtaining an Understanding of the Entity and Its Environment, and the Applicable Fi	inancial 4
	Obtaining an Understanding of the Entity's System of Internal Control	5
	Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement	10
	Classes of Transactions, Account Balances, and Disclosures That Are Not Significant Are Material	t, but 13
	Audit Documentation	14

#### **Executive Summary**

#### Introduction

This executive summary provides an overview of Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 145, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (SAS No. 145). While this document is an overview, it also aims to highlight changes that are viewed to be of most interest (but it is not inclusive of all changes). This SAS supersedes SAS No. 122, Statements on Auditing Standards: Clarification and Recodification, as amended, section 315, Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement (AU-C section 315). 1

#### **Background**

SAS No. 122 was issued by the Auditing Standards Board (ASB) in October 2011 to apply the clarity drafting conventions to all outstanding SASs issued by the ASB through SAS No. 121, including AU-C section 315, *Understanding the Entity and Its Environment and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement*.

The AICPA's Enhancing Audit Quality Initiative identified the auditor's risk assessment as an area of focus in 2019. This was, in part, because deficiencies in the process of obtaining the required understanding of internal control is a common audit issue identified by practice monitoring programs not only in the US, but worldwide.

The ASB's project to enhance the auditing standards relating to the auditor's risk assessment through the issuance of SAS No. 145 is intended to enable auditors to appropriately address the following:

- a. Understanding the entity's system of internal control, in particular, relating to the auditor's work effort to obtain the necessary understanding
- b. Modernizing the standard in relation to IT considerations, including addressing risks arising from entity's use of IT
- c. Determining risks of material misstatements, including significant risks

### Convergence

The ASB has a strategy to converge its standards with those of the IAASB. In doing that, the ASB uses the corresponding ISA as the base in developing its standards. SAS No. 145 was developed using as the base International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 315, *Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement* (Revised 2019). ISA 315 (Revised 2019) is effective for audits of financial statements for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2021.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All AU-C sections can be found in AICPA *Professional Standards*.

Each SAS differs from its corresponding ISA only where the ASB believes compelling reasons exist for the differences. AU-C Appendix B, *Substantive Differences Between the International Standards on Auditing and Generally Accepted Auditing Standards*, of AICPA *Professional Standards* includes an analysis prepared by the AICPA Audit and Attest Standards staff that highlights substantive differences between the SASs and ISAs, and the rationales therefore.

#### **Effective Date**

SAS No. 145 is effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2023.

The ASB has endeavored to closely coordinate the work between the AU-C section 315 and AU-C section 540 task forces in the development of revisions to both standards. Some of the new concepts in SAS No. 145 have already been approved in SAS No. 143, which supersedes AU-C section 540, including inherent risk factors, the spectrum of inherent risk, and the separate assessments of inherent risk and control risk. The ASB believes these concepts are appropriate for SAS No. 145 because they are applicable to all types of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures, not just those involving accounting estimates. The ASB has also worked toward addressing the use of these concepts consistently between the standards, recognizing that references to these concepts in SAS No. 143 specifically relate to accounting estimates. Because of the close interaction between SAS No. 145 and SAS No. 143, the ASB is aligning the effective dates of the standards. Both standards will be effective for audits of financial statements for periods ending on or after December 15, 2023.

#### **Fundamental Aspects of SAS No. 145**

A proper identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement drives the performance of a quality audit; a proper risk assessment is the basis on which the auditor plans and performs audit procedures and gathers audit evidence to support the audit opinion on the financial statements.

SAS No. 145 builds on the foundational concepts relating to an audit of financial statements in AU-C section 200, Overall Objectives of the Independent Auditor and the Conduct of an Audit in Accordance With Generally Accepted Auditing Standards, (such as audit risk, identifying risks at the financial statement and assertion levels, and the definitions of inherent risk and control risk). SAS No. 145 does not fundamentally change the key concepts underpinning audit risk. Rather, SAS No. 145 clarifies and enhances certain aspects of the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement to drive better risk assessments and, therefore, enhance audit quality.

Scalability of SAS No. 145

Although size of the entity matters, the level of complexity in the nature of an entity and its environment, including internal control, is the primary driver of scalability in the application of SAS No. 145. SAS No. 145 has removed the extant "Considerations Specific to Smaller Entities"

Agenda Item 2C Page 3 of 12

sections but has included most of these matters previously in the application material, as appropriate, together with further revisions to promote scalability. SAS No. 145 recognizes that although the size of an entity may be an indicator of its complexity, some smaller entities may be complex, and some larger entities may be less complex.

The Exercise of Professional Skepticism

SAS No. 145 contains several key provisions that are designed to enhance the auditor's exercise of professional skepticism, including the following:

- Emphasizing the importance of exercising professional skepticism
- Clarifying that an appropriate understanding of the entity and its environment, and the applicable financial reporting framework, provides a foundation for being able to exercise professional skepticism throughout the audit
- Highlighting the benefits of exercising professional skepticism during the required engagement team discussion

Highlighting that contradictory evidence may be obtained as part of the auditor's risk assessment procedures.

Modernization for an Evolving Business Environment

SAS No. 145 was enhanced with additional guidance that addresses significant changes in, and the evolution and increasingly complex nature of, the economic, technological, and regulatory aspects of the markets and environment in which entities and audit firms operate. It also recognizes the ability to use of automated tools and techniques (including audit data analytics) when performing risk assessment procedures.

# Obtaining an Understanding of the Entity and Its Environment, and the Applicable Financial Reporting Framework

SAS No. 145 elevates the importance of understanding the applicable framework by restructuring the requirements and clarifying the context of the auditor's understanding. In addition, SAS No. 145 includes:

- A new explicit requirement to understand the use of IT in the entity's structure, ownership and governance, and its business model. SAS No. 145 defines the IT environment, which includes IT applications and supporting IT infrastructure, as well as the IT processes and personnel involved in those processes, that an entity uses to support business operations and achieve business strategies.
- A new requirement to obtain an understanding of how inherent risk factors affect susceptibility of assertions and the degree to which they do so, in the preparation of the

Agenda Item 2C Page 4 of 12

financial statements in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework (the concept of inherent risk factors is described further below).

#### Obtaining an Understanding of the Entity's System of Internal Control

Understanding certain aspects of the entity's system of internal control is integral to the auditor's identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement, regardless of the auditor's planned controls reliance strategy. As described in paragraph A112 of SAS 145, the auditor's understanding and evaluation of the components of the entity's system of internal control provides a preliminary understanding of how the entity identifies business risks relevant to financial reporting and how it responds to them. It may also influence the auditor's identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement in different ways.

SAS No. 145 clarifies that the overall understanding of the entity's system of internal control is achieved through the requirements that address understanding each of the following components of the system of internal control:

- 1. the control environment,
- 2. the entity's risk assessment process,
- 3. the entity's process to monitor the system of internal control,
- 4. the information system and communication, and
- 5. control activities.

Each component comprises a collection of controls, which may be direct or indirect.<sup>2</sup> While differing requirements exist with respect to each component, SAS No. 145 clarifies the auditor's responsibilities, including the requirements to evaluate the design and determine whether certain controls within the control activities component have been implemented.

The nature, timing, and extent of risk assessment procedures that the auditor performs to obtain the required understanding are matters of the auditor's professional judgment and are based on the auditor's determination regarding the procedures that will provide sufficient appropriate audit

5

Agenda Item 2C Page 5 of 12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Direct controls* are controls that are precise enough to address risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. *Indirect controls* are controls that support direct controls. Although indirect controls are not sufficiently precise to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements at the assertion level, they are foundational and may have an indirect effect on the likelihood that a misstatement will be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

evidence to serve as a basis for the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement.

Terms Used to Describe Aspects of the Entity's System of Internal Control

SAS No. 145 applies certain revised terms consistently. These changes, made throughout GAAS, include the following:

- The term *internal control* has been changed to *system of internal control*, and the definition has been updated to reflect that it comprises five interrelated components.
- The use of the term *controls* has been clarified by including the following definition in the standard: (see paragraph 12)

"Policies or procedures that an entity establishes to achieve the control objectives of management or those charged with governance. In this context

- i. policies are statements of what should, or should not, be done within the entity to effect control. Such statements may be documented, explicitly stated in communications, or implied through actions and decisions.
- ii. procedures are actions to implement policies."

SAS No. 145 recognizes that some aspects of the entity's system of internal control may be less formalized but still functioning. When the entity's systems and processes lack formality, the auditor may still be able to perform risk assessment procedures through observation and inquiry.

Understanding the Components of Internal Control

When identifying controls that address the risk of material misstatement, SAS No. 145 clarifies the requirement providing a list of the types of control activities that the ASB believes are always relevant to the risks of material misstatement. These controls include:

- Controls that address risks determined to be significant risks;
- Controls over journal entries and other adjustments;
- Controls for which the auditor plans to test operating effectiveness in determining the nature, timing, and extent of substantive procedures; and,
- Other controls that, based on professional judgement, the auditor considers appropriate to meet the objectives set forth in SAS No. 145.

The following table provides a high-level summary of the auditor's responsibilities or work effort related to understanding the components of internal control in accordance with SAS No. 145.

Agenda Item 2C Page 6 of 12

Components of Internal Control						
	Primarily Indirect Controls		Primarily Direct Controls			
Control Environment	Entity's Risk Assessment Process	Entity's Process to Monitor the System of Internal Control	Information System and Communication	Control Activities		
to each of thes requirement to the component may not be suffand to perform evidence for the may be obtained other risk assection or the auditor's the auditor's the required evidence of the entity's the required evidence not requirementation may identify the auditor also	e components, which evaluate certain mat is based on such under ficient to obtain the rather required evaluate e auditor's understanted through a combinate of the procedures (for a component procedures) about the entities, or processes, go financial reporting obtained to eat e evaluating the design of individual controls within these controls within the control within the	l understanding related also includes a sers related to each of restanding. Inquiry alone equired understanding on. Accordingly, audit ding and evaluation tion of inquiries and or example, tity's processes through ents).  g includes the ongoing eared to the achievement ojectives. Accordingly, ch of these components gn or determining the ols. However, the auditor omponents for which d determines	SAS No. 145 also includes a requirement to understand the information system and communication component, which includes a requirement to evaluate certain matters, similar to the control environment, the entity's risk assessment process, and the entity's process to monitor the system of internal control. For the information system and communication component, however, the auditor's understanding includes the flows of transactions and other aspects of the entity's information-processing activities as well as the entity's communication of significant matters. The required evaluation does not require evaluating the design or determining the implementation of individual controls.	SAS No. 145 includes specific requirements to understand certain controls within the control activities component that address risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. This includes certain general IT controls that address risks arising from the use of IT.  For the identified controls that address such risks, the auditor is required to evaluate the design and determine whether the controls have been implemented. The identified controls include:  • Controls that address a risk that is determined to be a significant risk • Controls over journal entries and other adjustments, including nonstandard journal entries used to record nonrecurring, unusual transactions, as required by AU-C section 240 • Controls for which the auditor plans to test operating effectiveness in determining the nature, timing, and extent of substantive procedures, which should include controls that address risks for which substantive procedures alone do not provide sufficient appropriate audit evidence other controls • Other controls that, based on the auditor's professional judgment, the auditor considers are appropriate to enable the auditor to meet the objectives of paragraph 13 with respect to risks at the assertion level.  Identified controls also include those general IT controls that address risks arising from the use of IT, as described in the following section.		

#### ASB Meeting July 20-22, 2021

Risks Arising from the Use of IT and General IT Controls

SAS No. 145 defines the term "risks arising from the use of IT" as the susceptibility of information-processing controls to ineffective design or operation, or risks to the integrity of information in the entity's information system, due to ineffective design or operation of controls in the entity's IT processes. General information technology (IT) controls are defined as controls over the entity's IT processes that support the continued proper operation of the IT environment, including the continued effective functioning of information-processing controls and the integrity of information in the entity's information system. SAS No. 145 requires the auditor to identify general IT controls that address the risks arising from the use of IT and to evaluate their design and determine their implementation.

General IT controls need not be identified for every IT process. General IT controls are identified based on the risks arising from the use of IT. To identify the risks arising from the use of IT, the auditor identifies the IT applications and other aspects of the entity's IT environment that are subject to such risks. Such IT applications and other aspects are identified based on the identified controls that address the risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. Appendix E of SAS No. 145 includes example characteristics of IT applications and other aspects of the IT environment, and guidance related to those characteristics, that may be relevant in identifying IT applications and other aspects of the IT environment subject to risks arising from the use of IT.

Other Matters Relevant to Understanding the Entity's System of Internal Control

Consistent with AU-C section 265, SAS No. 145 includes an explicit requirement that, based on the auditor's evaluation of each of the components of the entity's system of internal control, the auditor should determine whether one or more control deficiencies have been identified. The auditor may determine that a significant deficiency or material weakness exists that impacts the auditor's risk assessments and related response.

### Identifying and Assessing the Risks of Material Misstatement

The auditor's risk identification and assessment process is iterative and dynamic. The auditor's understanding of the entity and its environment, the applicable financial reporting framework, and the entity's system of internal control are interdependent with concepts within the requirements to identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In obtaining the understanding required by SAS No. 145, initial expectations of risks may be developed, which may be further refined as the auditor progresses through the risk identification and assessment process.

SAS No. 145 does not prescribe the order in which the requirements related to the identification of the risks of material misstatement are to be applied or how such risks are documented, which may vary depending on preferred audit techniques or methodologies. To assist auditors in understanding the requirements related to the identification and assessment of the risks of material misstatement, SAS No. 145 clarified various requirements and also introduced new concepts and definitions, as described in the following table.

# Inherent risk factors and spectrum of inherent risk (new)

Inherent risk factors are characteristics of events or conditions that affect the susceptibility to misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, of an assertion about a class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure, before consideration of controls. Such factors may be quantitative or qualitative and include complexity, subjectivity, change, uncertainty, and susceptibility to misstatement due to management bias or other fraud risk factors insofar as they affect inherent risk.

Depending on the degree to which the inherent risk factors affect the susceptibility to misstatement of an assertion, the level of inherent risk varies on a scale that is referred to as the *spectrum* of inherent risk. The spectrum of inherent risk provides a frame of reference in determining the significance of the combination of the likelihood and magnitude of a misstatement.

Inherent risk factors are intended to assist the auditor in focusing on those aspects of events or conditions that affect an assertion's susceptibility to misstatement, which in turn, facilitates a more focused identification of risks of material misstatement at the assertion level. Understanding the degree to which inherent risk factors affect susceptibility of assertions to misstatement assists the auditor in assessing inherent risk, which also informs the auditor's design of further audit procedures in accordance with AU-C section 330. It is the intersection of the magnitude and likelihood of the material misstatement on the spectrum of inherent risk that will determine whether the assessed inherent risk is higher or lower on the spectrum of inherent risk.

# Relevant assertions (revised)

The definition of a relevant assertion was revised to clarify that an assertion about a class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure is relevant when it has an identified risk of material misstatement, taking into account the likelihood and magnitude of a misstatement. A risk of material misstatement exists when (a) there is a reasonable possibility of a misstatement occurring (that is, its likelihood), and (b) if it were to occur, there is a reasonable possibility of the misstatement being material (that is, its magnitude).

SAS No. 145 also clarifies that a reasonable possibility that a risk of material misstatement exists when the likelihood of a material misstatement occurring is more than remote. This guidance is

Agenda Item 2C Page 9 of 12

consistent with that provided in other AU-C sections (that is, AU-C section 265 and AU-C section 501). The determination of whether an assertion is a relevant assertion continues to be made before consideration of any related controls (that is, the determination is based on inherent risk). Significant class of While the term "significant class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure" is used within GAAS, particularly within transactions, account AU-C section 940, it was not defined. A class of transactions, balance, or disclosure (new) account balance, or disclosure is considered significant when it has an identified risk of material misstatement at the assertion level (that is, there is one or more relevant assertions). The determination of whether a class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure is significant is made before consideration of any related controls (that is, the determination is based on inherent risk). The definition and guidance in SAS No. 145 are consistent with how the term was interpreted by various auditors when applying AU-C section 940. The introduction of the concept of a significant class of transactions, account balance, or disclosure is intended to clarify the scope of the auditor's understanding of the entity's information-processing activities as well as the auditor's responsibilities related to the identification and assessment of,

As a reminder, AU-C section 240, Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit, continues to address the auditor's responsibilities relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements and expands on how SAS No. 145 and AU-C section 330, Performing Audit Procedures in Response to Assessed Risks and Evaluating the Audit Evidence Obtained, are to be applied regarding risks of material misstatement due to fraud.

and responses to, assessed risks of material misstatement.

Assessing Inherent Risk and Control Risk Separately

For risks of material misstatement at the assertion level, SAS No. 145 requires separate assessments of inherent risk and control risk, which is consistent with SAS No. 143, *Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures*.<sup>3</sup> SAS No. 145, however, does not prescribe a specific method for making such risk assessments nor require a combined assessment of inherent risk and control risk. The auditor's separate assessments of inherent risk and control risk may be

Agenda Item 2C

Page 10 of 12

Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 143, *Auditing Accounting Estimates and Related Disclosures*, was approved by the Auditing Standards Board in May 2020 and, when issued, will have an effective date of audits of financial statement for periods ending or after December 15, 2023.

performed in different ways, depending on preferred audit techniques or methodologies, and may be expressed in different ways.

#### Assessing Control Risk at the Maximum Level

If the auditor does not plan to test the operating effectiveness of controls, SAS No. 145 requires the auditor to assess control risk at the maximum level such that the assessment of the risk of material misstatement is the same as the assessment of inherent risk. In other words, tests of the operating effectiveness of controls are required to support a control risk assessment below the maximum level.

When the auditor does not plan to test the operating effectiveness of identified controls, the auditor's evaluation of the design and determination of the implementation of controls may still assist in the design of substantive procedures. When identified controls are designed effectively and implemented, risk assessment procedures may generally influence the auditor's determination of the nature and timing of substantive procedures to be performed (for example, the auditor may determine to perform inspection rather than external confirmation or to perform procedures at an interim date rather than at period end).

#### Significant Risks

To promote a more consistent approach to determining significant risks, SAS No. 145 revised the definition of *significant risks* to focus not on the response (that is, whether a risk requires special audit consideration) but on the inherent risk assessment. Accordingly, the definition in SAS No. 145 focuses on those risks for which the assessment of inherent risk is close to the upper end of the spectrum of inherent risk due to the degree to which inherent risk factors affect the combination of the likelihood of a misstatement occurring and the magnitude of the potential misstatement should that misstatement occur. Significant risks also include those that are to be treated as a significant risk in accordance with the requirements of other AU-C sections (that is, AU-C section 240 or AU-C section 550).

SAS No. 145 no longer requires the auditor to determine whether a financial statement level risk is a significant risk. However, identified risks of material misstatement at the financial statement level may affect the auditor's assessment of significant risks at the assertion level.

SAS No. 145 acknowledges that the determination of whether a risk is a significant risk requires the application of professional judgment. AU-C section 330 continues to include special audit considerations in the form of specific requirements related to significant risks because of the nature of the risk and the likelihood and potential magnitude of misstatement related to the risk.

# Classes of Transactions, Account Balances, and Disclosures That Are Not Significant but Are Material

Agenda Item 2C Page 11 of 12

SAS No. 145 includes a new "stand-back" requirement (paragraph 39) intended to drive an evaluation of the completeness of the identification of significant classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures by the auditor. For material classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures that have not been determined to be significant classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures (that is, there are no relevant assertions identified), SAS No. 145 requires the auditor to evaluate whether the auditor's determination remains appropriate. Materiality is in the context of the financial statements. Accordingly, classes of transactions, account balances, or disclosures are material if there is a substantial likelihood that omitting, misstating, or obscuring information about them would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

The stand-back differs from the requirement in paragraph .18 of AU-C section 330, which was revised to align with the definitions in SAS No. 145 and with PCAOB standard AS 2301, *The Auditor's Responses to the Risks of Material Misstatement*. Paragraph .18 of AU-C section 330 now requires the auditor to perform substantive procedures for each relevant assertion of each significant class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure, regardless of the assessed level of control risk (rather than for all relevant assertions related to each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure, irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, as previously required).

#### **Audit Documentation**

SAS No. 145 also revised the related audit documentation requirements to include the following new requirements:

- Documentation of the evaluation of the design of identified controls, and determination of whether such controls have been implemented
- The rationale for significant judgments made regarding the identified and assessed risks of material misstatement

More detailed documentation that is sufficient to enable an experienced auditor having no previous experience with the audit to understand the nature, timing, and extent of the audit procedures performed and a conclusion or the basis for a conclusion not otherwise readily determinable from the documentation of the work performed or audit evidence obtained may be required to support the rationale for difficult judgments made.

Agenda Item 2C Page 12 of 12