

Society of Louisiana Certified Public Accountants

Truth. Strength. Fortitude.

2016-17 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Chair

Ralph Bender, CPA, CGMA

Chair-Elect

Gina Rachel, CPA

Treasurer

Kandace Mauldin, CPA

Immediate Past Chair

Brook Harvey III, CPA

Directors

John Armato, CPA

Greg Booth Jr., CPA

Gary Dressler, CPA

Bryan Ehricht, CPA

Mona Fordham, CPA

Dan Gardiner, CPA

Debbie Humphries, CPA

Emily Leahy, CPA

Bob Little, CPA

Jason MacMorran, CPA, ABV, CFF, CVA

Curtis Meaux, CPA, CGMA

Jay Montalbano Jr., CPA, CVA

Jade Moreau, CPA

Paul Riggs Jr., CPA

Sarah Thornhill, CPA

Executive Director/CEO

Ronald Gitz II, CPA, CGMA

2400 Veterans Boulevard Suite 500 Kenner, LA 70062-4739 504.464.1040 800.288.5272 Fax 504.469.7930 Icpa.org May 11, 2017

Lisa A. Snyder
Senior Director, Professional Ethics Division
Peer Review Division
Paladian I Corporate Center
220 Leigh Farm Road
Durham, NC 2770

The Professional Ethics Committee of the Society of Louisiana CPAs (LCPA) thanks you for the opportunity to respond to March 10, 2017 Exposure Draft for Proposed Interpretations-Responding to Non-Compliance with Laws and Regulations. We provide the following comments for further discussion by the Committee.

Issue # 1

We are concerned that according to the explanation of the ED, "The proposed guidance, however, does impose requirements on auditors that go beyond the audit standards for purposes of fulfilling their ethical obligations under the AICPA code."

We believe that the final standard should provide more guidance to the auditors through detailed explanations and examples to better explain the AICPA's expectations and requirements.

Issue # 2

The IESBA standard has a provision that would require a professional accountant in public practice who has withdrawn from a professional relationship, upon request from the successor accountant, to disclose a NOCLAR to the successor accountant. Due to state laws and regulations on confidentiality noted previously, the AICPA proposed interpretation does not contain a similar provision regarding disclosure to a successor accountant. The member would need to obtain the client's permission to discuss the matter with the successor. If the client refuses to permit the member to discuss all matters with the successor, the successor should be mindful of a potential issue.

We believe that a member that is acting as a predecessor auditor has the responsibility to provide certain workpapers and respond openly to the successor auditor's inquiries. We believe the IESBA standard is correct and limiting any response or disclosure by the predecessor auditor once the client has instructed the predecessor auditor to assist the successor auditor is an improper limitation.

Issue #3

Section 2.170.010-20 & 33 states that "In addition to responding to the matter in accordance with the provisions of this interpretation, the member [who is a senior professional accountant] should determine whether disclosure of the matter to the employing organization's external auditor, if any, is necessary pursuant to the member's duty or legal obligation to provide all information necessary to enable the auditor to perform the audit."

We disagree with any member (management, senior professional accountant, other accountant) of an employing organization **not** fully disclosing a NOCLAR matter to that organization's external auditor. Those individuals should be ethically required to fully disclose these matters to the external auditor and not be allowed to **determine** whether disclosure is necessary. Every auditor expects an organization to fully disclose **all** matters to them and the credibility of each audit demands this full disclosure. There should be virtually no reason for an organization to withhold this information. As an auditor, if management does communicate to them that they are not fully disclosing all matters, then the auditor should consider this as a scope limitation and address it accordingly.

It seems contradictory to us that this proposed guidance imposes more extensive requirements on auditors and yet, provides members of an organization the ability to decide whether to disclose a NOCLAR event to that organization's external auditor.

<u>Issue # 4</u>

We do not believe that the one-year delay is necessary for these interpretations. Most members are substantially complying already, and this interpretation will assist both members in public practice and business and industry upon publication.

Sincerely, SOCIETY OF LOUISIANA CPAs

Kurt G. Destriecher, CPA

Kurt Oestriecher, CPA LCPA Ethics Committee Chair

cc: Stacey Lockwood
Director of Professional Oversight