ENGAGEMENT PROFILE

Engagement Code No.	Date of Financial Statements ² Date of Report					
Owner or Partner						
Manager						
Engagement Quality Control Reviewer ¹						
This engagement involves reporting on the following:						
☐ Financial statements (single entity)						
Consolidated or combined financial statements of finan organizations	cially interrelated NFPs or voluntary health and welfare					
☐ Financial statements of a component of the organization	n					
☐ Special Reports						
☐ Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Complia Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> (See						
Compliance for Each Major Program; Internal Control Federal Awards required by OMB <i>Circular A-133</i> (Com						
Other (explain)						
Is this engagement part of a group audit? Yes No						
Were other auditors involved in this engagement? Yes] No [
At the time the report or financial statement(s) on the clier	t's current year was issued or released, were there billed					
or unbilled fees, or note(s) receivable arising from such fee						
provided more than one year prior to the date of the report	? Yes No					
Key data reported on by this office for this engagement:						
Total revenues (memorandum total)						
Total assets						
Total net assets	\$					
General description of audited entity (type of entity (included special purpose entities), services provided, and so on):						
What types of non-attest services will be performed for the	is client? (Check all that apply.) ³					
Activities such as financial statement preparation, cas [ET sec. 1.295.010.06]	h-to-accrual conversions, and reconciliations ⁴					
Bookkeeping, payroll, and other disbursements [ET so	ec. 1.295.120]					
Tax preparation services [ET sec. 1.295.160]						
sec. 1.295.110], benefit plan administration [ET sec. 1 corporate finance consulting [ET sec. 1.295.130], exe	1.295.105], appraisal, valuation, and actuarial services [ET 1.295.115], business risk consulting [ET sec. 1.295.125], cutive or employee recruiting [ET sec. 1.295.135], forensidesign, implementation, or integration [ET sec. 1.295.145] ry or management [ET sec. 1.295.155]					

PRP §20,600

¹Not applicable unless required by firm policy.

 $^{^2}$ To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced pronouncements, consider their effective dates.

 $^{^{3}}$. To determine the applicability of all cross-referenced Code sections, consider their effective dates.

⁴Effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2014, activities such as financial statement preparation, cash-to-accrual conversions, and reconciliations are considered outside the scope of the attest engagement and, therefore, constitute a non-attest service.

Identify the following for each non-attest service type previously identified (attach additional sheets, if necessary):							
Specific non-attest service:							
Individual in your firm responsible:							
Provide the working paper reference location that documents the understanding with the attest client regarding the (objectives of the engagement, (ii) services to be performed, (iii) attest client's acceptance of its responsibilities, (iv) member's responsibilities, and (v) any limitations of the engagement.							
Title of client personnel overseeing this service:							
Please describe your assessment and factors leading to your satisfaction that the client personnel overseeing the service had sufficient skills, knowledge and experience (SKE) to do so:							
Did any of the non-attest service(s) involve leading and directing the entity, including making significant decisions cassuming management responsibilities?							
Examples of such services include, but are not limited to, the following: (Check all that apply.)							
Accepting responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of the client's financial statements							
Having check signing authority or power of attorney, whether used or not							
Preparing invoices, receipts, or other documents that evidence the occurrence of a transaction (including data entry)							
Authorizing or executing transactions, or making decisions on behalf of the client							
Supervising, hiring, or terminating client employees							
Serving on the client's board of directors							
Serving as a client's stock transfer or escrow agent, registrar, general counsel, or equivalent							
Accepting responsibility for the management of a client's project							
Performing ongoing evaluations of the client's internal control as part of its monitoring activities							
Other:							
If any of the preceding boxes are checked, please provide a description:							

	my significant threats identified in				A
docun	nentation of appropriate safegu	ards, you shou	ld still answer "yes".) It	f yes:	
]	Describe what safeguards, if any,	were applied to	address the significant t	hreats	
-					
-					
]	Provide the working paper referen	nce location of	the documentation regard	ding threats and	safeguards.
-					
-					
-					
-					
-					
				Owner	Managar
				Owner or	Manager or
lans on n al (Continuity				or
	•	nt		or	or
lumber of	Continuity: years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this e			or	or
Number of	years assigned on this engagemen			or	_
Number of	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this e			or	or <u>Equivalent</u> ———
umber of	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this e	engagement	Prior to Commencement of	or <u>Partner</u>	or <u>Equivalent</u> ——— After Completion
Jumber of Jumber of Audit hours	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this est on this engagement:		Prior to	or <u>Partner</u>	or <u>Equivalent</u> ——— After Completion
Jumber of Jumber of Audit hours	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this es on this engagement:	engagement Total	Prior to Commencement of	or <u>Partner</u>	or <u>Equivalent</u> ——— After Completio
Jumber of Jumber of Audit hours Owner or P	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this est on this engagement: artner	ngagement <u>Total</u>	Prior to Commencement of	or <u>Partner</u>	or <u>Equivalent</u> ———
Jumber of Jumber of Audit hours Owner or Pangagement	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this es on this engagement: artner t Quality Control Reviewer ⁵ r equivalent)	<u>Total</u>	Prior to Commencement of	or <u>Partner</u>	or <u>Equivalent</u> ——— After Completio
Jumber of Jumber of Audit hours Owner or P ngagemen Janager (o	years assigned on this engagement years in current position on this est on this engagement: artner	<u>Total</u>	Prior to Commencement of	or <u>Partner</u>	or Equivalent ——— After Completio

⁵ See footnote 1.

Single Audit Data (from final audited financials):

NOTE: This engagement profile (including the major program determination worksheet on the following page) has not been updated for Uniform Guidance audit requirements, which are effective for periods beginning on or after December 26, 2014. This profile is applicable to OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*, Single Audits only.

Total amount of federal assistance expended ⁶	\$
Threshold for Type A programs	\$
Were any large loans or loan guarantees excluded in determining Type A programs?	
Dollar amount of total federal assistance expended that was tested as major programs	\$ ———
Percent of total federal assistance expended that was tested as major programs	percent
In accordance with OMB Circular A-133 Section .530, was auditee considered a low-risk auditee?	
If the auditee was considered low risk, did it meet the following for each of the two preceding years? N/A	
Were OMB Circular A-133 single audits performed and submitted on a timely basis?	
Date data collection forms were accepted by the Federal Audit Clearinghouse:	
Current year audit:	
First preceding year audit:	
Second preceding year audit:	
Were unqualified opinions issued on the financial statements and the schedule of expenditures of federal awards?	
Did the auditee have no material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting? Yes No	
Did the auditee have no findings in Type A programs from any of the following:	
Material weaknesses in internal control over compliance?	
Material noncompliance?	
Known or likely questioned costs > 5 percent of expenditures for that Type A program?	
Dollar amount required to be tested as major to meet percent of coverage based on low-risk auditee determination	\$
If applicable did auditor use the first year audit exception and deviate from the risk based approach?	

⁶This amount should include "pass through" federal assistance received indirectly from another state or local government.

AICPA Peer Review Program Manual

Complete the following chart with two-year look back information and information regarding current year. If the programs are part of a cluster, list the name of the cluster and all Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) numbers in the cluster. As an alternative to the completion of this worksheet, the major program determination worksheet prepared by the firm may be submitted to the peer reviewer. Any alternate format must include the requested information for the current and prior two years.

SINGLE AUDIT/A-133 MAJOR PROGRAM DETERMINATION WORKSHEET

			SINC	JLE AUDI I	YA-133 MA	JOK PRO	GRAM D	ETERMIN	ATION WO	JKKSHEE	<u> </u>			
					Current Y	<i>Y</i> ear			Firs	t Preceding Y	ear	Seco	nd Preceding	Year
Name of			Amount of Loans	Total	Year Last Audited	Туре	Non-		Total	Year Last Audited	Туре	Total	Year Last Audited	Туре
Agency or	CFDA or	Name of	or Loan	Awards	as a Major	Program	Major	Major	Awards	as a Major		Awards	as a Major	Prograi
Department	Other No.	Program	Guarantees	Expended	Program	(A or B)	Program	Program	Expended	Program	(A or B)	Expended	Program	(A or E
1				r	- 5	,			T		,	1		
					1					1			1	
					-								-	
					-					-			-	
					-					1			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					1			1	
					_					1			1	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			1	
										-			_	
					-					1			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
					-					-			-	
	1.4 1 1 1								<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
otal Financia	al Awards, A	II Programs												

AUDIT ENGAGEMENT RISK ASSESSMENT

This section of the engagement profile should be completed by the engagement partner or manager (or by the reviewer based on the interview of the engagement team).

1.		ent team considered with regard to the entity, its environment, fraud and how they affected the audit approach.
2.	What are the two or three areas with statements?	the highest risk of material misstatement in the financial
3.	If a group audit was performed, desc	cribe the level of reliance on the work of component auditors.
Re	te Engagement view Performed viewer Signature	Date Checklist Reviewed by Team Captain Team Captain Signature